arXiv:0807.2683v5 [hep-lat] 11 Mar 2009

OIQP-08-07

Lattice Formulation of Two-Dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ SQCD with Exact Supersymmetry

Fumihiko Sugino

Okayama Institute for Quantum Physics
Kyoyama 1-9-1, Okayama 700-0015, Japan

`fumihiko_sugino@pref.okayama.lg.jp`

Abstract

We construct a lattice model for two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ supersymmetric QCD (SQCD), with the matter multiplets belonging to the fundamental or anti-fundamental representation of the gauge group U(N) or SU(N). The construction is based on the topological field theory (twisted supercharge) formulation and exactly preserves one supercharge along the line of the papers [1]–[4] for pure supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories. In order to avoid the species doublers of the matter multiplets, we introduce the Wilson terms and the model is defined for the case of the number of the fundamental matters (n_+) equal to that of the anti-fundamental matters (n_-). If some of the matter multiplets decouple from the theory by sending the corresponding anti-holomorphic twisted masses to the infinity, we can analyze the general $n_+ \neq n_-$ case, although the lattice model is defined for $n_+ = n_-$. By computing the anomaly of the U$(1)_A$ R-symmetry in the lattice perturbation, we see that the decoupling is achieved and the anomaly for $n_+ \neq n_-$ is correctly obtained.

1 Introduction

Lattice formulation of quantum field theory has been the most solid method to give its constructive definition and to explore its nonperturbative properties. Wilson's lattice gauge theory has been demonstrating it as the most typical and well-known example. In general, it is not possible to realize all the symmetries possessed by the target continuum theory in the lattice formulation. Thus, in constructing the target theory from its lattice formulation, in the continuum limit we often have to tune some coupling constants against the corresponding relevant operators radiatively generated, to recover the symmetries not realized at the lattice level. It is desirable to start with the lattice theory realizing more symmetries of those possessed by the target theory, so that the relevant operators to be tuned are fewer. Also, from the theoretical point of view, it is intriguing to seek novel ultra-violet completions which possess symmetries never realized so far. The Ginsparg-Wilson formulation for the chiral symmetry [5] is such a well-known example.

Since supersymmetric gauge theory is one of the promising candidates that describe the physics beyond the standard model, it is important to construct its lattice formulation to proceed the nonperturbative investigation from the first principle. However, there has been a notorious difficulty on the reconciliation of supersymmetry with the lattice structure [6, 7, 8][1]. At present, some lattice models realizing a part of the supersymmetries of the target theory have been constructed[2]. For example, see [13, 14, 15, 16] for the field theories without gauge symmetry, [17, 18, 1, 2, 3, 19, 4, 20] for pure supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) models[3], and [22, 23] for the two-dimensional SYM coupled with matter fields. Moreover, the numerical simulations of the constructed lattice two-dimensional SYM models have been done in [24, 25, 26]. In particular, Refs. [26] have presented a framework of observing the dynamical supersymmetry breaking for a general lattice theory possessing at least one exact supercharge.

In this paper, we construct a lattice theory for two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ supersymmetric QCD (SQCD) with matter multiplets belonging to the fundamental or anti-fundamental representation of the gauge group $G = \mathrm{U}(N)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(N)$. In the same manner as the previous work for SYM theories [1, 2, 3, 4], our construction is based on the topological field theory (or twisted supercharge) formulation of the target supersymmetric theory, differently from [22, 23] based on the idea of the deconstruction[4]. The lattice gauge fields are represented as compact link variables, and one of the supercharges of the target theory is exactly preserved at the lattice level.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we explain the target continuum theory, two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ SQCD with n_+ fundamental and n_- anti-fundamental matter multiplets. We can introduce general superpotentials and the twisted masses (furthermore the Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) term and the topological ϑ-term for $G = \mathrm{U}(N)$). The action is supersymmetric and expressed as the Q-exact form (except for the topological ϑ-term). Q is a linear combination of the four supercharges of the target theory obtained by the topological twist. In the presence of the twisted masses, Q is nilpotent up to the combination of an infinitesimal gauge transformation and infinitesimal flavor rotations, whose transformation parameters are the Higgs scalar ϕ and the holomorphic twisted masses, respectively. In section 3, we construct the lattice action with the supersymmetry Q exactly preserved. In order to avoid the species doublers of the matter multiplets, we introduce the Wilson terms and the model is defined in the case $n_+ = n_-(\equiv n)$. Then, the flavor symmetry reduces from $\mathrm{U}(1)^n \times \mathrm{U}(1)^n$ to its diagonal subgroup $\mathrm{U}(1)^n$. The Q-invariance of our lattice action is guaranteed, when the flavor rotation generated by Q^2 falls into the subgroup $\mathrm{U}(1)^n$. Thus, we are forced to focus on the case that the holomorphic twisted masses of the fundamentals and anti-fundamentals of the flavor I are equal $\widetilde{m}_{+I} = \widetilde{m}_{-I}(\equiv \widetilde{m}_I)$ with $I = 1, \cdots, n$. In section 4, we analyze the anomaly of the $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ R-symmetry in both of the continuum and lattice cases. Although the lattice action is applicable only to the case $n_+ = n_-$, it will be possible to obtain the physical consequences for the general case $n_+ \neq n_-$, by sending some of the anti-holomorphic twisted masses to the infinity intending to decouple the corresponding matters from the theory. Actually, we see that the decoupling is achieved and the $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ anomaly for $n_+ \neq n_-$ is correctly obtained by perturbative calculation using our lattice action. The summary of the results obtained so far and the discussion on future subjects are presented in section 5. In appendix A, to clarify the notation, we explicitly derive the $(1+1)$-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ SQCD action by the dimensional reduction from $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SQCD in $3+1$ dimensions. Appendix B is devoted to details on the lattice perturbative computation of the $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ anomaly.

---

[1] Although there is an attempt to overcome the difficulty by deforming the Leibniz rule on the lattice [9, 10], it seems necessary to be elaborated further [11].

[2] For a recent review, see [12].

[3] Ref. [21] discusses on observables of the topological field theory on the lattice.

[4] Some relations among the deconstruction models and the topological field theory construction are discussed in [27, 28].

2 Two-Dimensional Continuum $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ SQCD

$\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ SQCD in $1+1$ dimensions is derived from the $(3+1)$-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SQCD by the dimensional reduction. The field contents are the dimensional reduction of the four-dimensional vector multiplet V, n_+ chiral multiplets belonging to the fundamental representation $\Phi_{+I} = (\phi_{+I}, \psi_{+I}, F_{+I})$ ($I = 1, \cdots, n_+$), and n_- chiral multiplets belonging to the anti-fundamental representation $\Phi_{-I'} = (\phi_{-I'}, \psi_{-I'}, F_{-I'})$ ($I' = 1, \cdots, n_-$). After the dimensional reduction, V contains the gauge fields A_μ, the Higgs scalars $\phi, \bar{\phi}$, the gaugino fields $\lambda, \bar{\lambda}$, and the auxiliary field D. The detail is explained in appendix A.

To develop the corresponding lattice formulation, we consider the theory in Euclidean two dimensions, which is obtained from (A.11) by the Wick rotation

$$x^0 \to -ix_0, \qquad A_0 \to iA_0. \tag{2.1}$$

The result is

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{(E)}_{\rm 2DSQCD} &= S^{(E)}_{\rm 2DSYM} + S^{(E)}_{\rm mat,+} + S^{(E)}_{\rm mat,-}, \qquad (2.2)\\
S^{(E)}_{\rm 2DSYM} &= \frac{1}{g^2}\int \mathrm{d}^2x\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{D}_\mu\phi\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{\phi} + \frac{1}{4}[\phi,\bar{\phi}]^2 - D^2\right.\\
&\qquad\left. +4\bar{\lambda}_R\mathcal{D}_z\lambda_R + 4\bar{\lambda}_L\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}\lambda_L + 2\bar{\lambda}_R[\bar{\phi},\lambda_L] + 2\bar{\lambda}_L[\phi,\lambda_R]\right),\\
S^{(E)}_{\rm mat,+} &= \int \mathrm{d}^2x\sum_{I=1}^{n_+}\Big[\mathcal{D}_\mu\phi^\dagger_{+I}\mathcal{D}_\mu\phi_{+I} + \frac{1}{2}\phi^\dagger_{+I}\{\phi,\bar{\phi}\}\phi_{+I} - F^\dagger_{+I}F_{+I} - \phi^\dagger_{+I}D\phi_{+I}\\
&\qquad +2\bar{\psi}_{+IR}\mathcal{D}_z\psi_{+IR} + 2\bar{\psi}_{+IL}\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}\psi_{+IL} + \bar{\psi}_{+IR}\,\bar{\phi}\,\psi_{+IL} + \bar{\psi}_{+IL}\,\phi\,\psi_{+IR}\\
&\qquad -i\sqrt{2}\left(\phi^\dagger_{+I}(\lambda_L\psi_{+IR} - \lambda_R\psi_{+IL}) + (-\bar{\psi}_{+IR}\bar{\lambda}_L + \bar{\psi}_{+IL}\bar{\lambda}_R)\phi_{+I}\right)\Big],\\
S^{(E)}_{\rm mat,-} &= \int \mathrm{d}^2x\sum_{I'=1}^{n_-}\Big[\mathcal{D}_\mu\phi_{-I'}\mathcal{D}_\mu\phi^\dagger_{-I'} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{-I'}\{\phi,\bar{\phi}\}\phi^\dagger_{-I'} - F_{-I'}F^\dagger_{-I'} + \phi_{-I'}D\phi^\dagger_{-I'}\\
&\qquad +2\psi_{-I'R}\mathcal{D}_z\bar{\psi}_{-I'R} + 2\psi_{-I'L}\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} + \psi_{-I'L}\,\bar{\phi}\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'R} + \psi_{-I'R}\,\phi\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'L}\\
&\qquad -i\sqrt{2}\left((-\psi_{-I'L}\lambda_R + \psi_{-I'R}\lambda_L)\phi^\dagger_{-I'} + \phi_{-I'}(\bar{\lambda}_R\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} - \bar{\lambda}_L\bar{\psi}_{-I'R})\right)\Big],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_z = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{D}_0 - i\mathcal{D}_1)$, $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{D}_0 + i\mathcal{D}_1)$, and the spinor indices R, L are used instead of 1, 2, respectively. After the Wick rotation, the contours of the auxiliary fields in the path-integral are chosen to give the convergent result[5].

The supersymmetry of the action is given by the result of the Wick rotation of (A.12), (A.13) and (A.14). Also, the classical action has the two R-symmetries $\mathrm{U}(1)_V$ and $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$. The origin of the $\mathrm{U}(1)_V$ is the $\mathrm{U}(1)_R$ symmetry in the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=1$ theory, while that of the $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ is the rotational symmetry in the directions (x'^1, x'^2) to be dimensionally reduced. The $(\mathrm{U}(1)_V, \mathrm{U}(1)_A)$ charges are assigned for the supercoordinates $\theta, \bar{\theta}$, the supercharges $Q, \bar{Q}$ and the field contents as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\theta_L : (1,1), \quad \theta_R : (1,-1), \quad \bar{\theta}_L : (-1,-1), \quad \bar{\theta}_R : (-1,1)\\
&Q_L : (-1,1), \quad Q_R : (-1,-1), \quad \bar{Q}_L : (1,-1), \quad \bar{Q}_R : (1,1)\\
&\phi : (0,2), \quad \bar{\phi} : (0,-2), \quad \lambda_L : (1,1), \quad \lambda_R : (1,-1), \quad \bar{\lambda}_L : (-1,-1), \quad \bar{\lambda}_R : (-1,1)\\
&\psi_{+IL} : (-1,1), \quad \psi_{+IR} : (-1,-1), \quad F_{+I} : (-2,0),\\
&\bar{\psi}_{+IL} : (1,-1), \quad \bar{\psi}_{+IR} : (1,1), \quad F^\dagger_{+I} : (2,0),\\
&\psi_{-I'L} : (-1,1), \quad \psi_{-I'R} : (-1,-1), \quad F_{-I'} : (-2,0),\\
&\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} : (1,-1), \quad \bar{\psi}_{-I'R} : (1,1), \quad F^\dagger_{-I'} : (2,0) \qquad (2.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the other fields neutral for both U(1)'s.

---

[5] Or equivalently, treating F_{+I} and $F^\dagger_{+I}$ ($F_{-I'}$ and $F^\dagger_{-I'}$) as independent variables, we further rotate as $D \to iD$, $F_{+I} \to iF_{+I}$ and $F^\dagger_{+I} \to iF^\dagger_{+I}$ ($F_{-I'} \to iF_{-I'}$ and $F^\dagger_{-I'} \to iF^\dagger_{-I'}$).

Renaming the variables as[6]

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Q \equiv -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Q_L + \bar{Q}_R), \\
&\psi_0 \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\lambda_L + \bar{\lambda}_R), \qquad \psi_1 \equiv \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\lambda_L - \bar{\lambda}_R), \\
&\chi \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\lambda_R - \bar{\lambda}_L), \qquad \eta \equiv -i\sqrt{2}(\lambda_R + \bar{\lambda}_L),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4}
$$

the transformation rule under the supersymmetry Q is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&QA_\mu = \psi_\mu, \qquad Q\psi_\mu = i\mathcal{D}_\mu \phi, \\
&Q\phi = 0, \\
&Q\bar{\phi} = \eta, \qquad Q\eta = [\phi, \bar{\phi}], \\
&Q\chi = iD + iF_{01}, \qquad QD = -QF_{01} - i[\phi, \chi],
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.5}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Q\phi_{+I} = -\psi_{+IL}, \qquad Q\psi_{+IL} = -\phi\,\phi_{+I}, \qquad Q\psi_{+IR} = (\mathcal{D}_0 + i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi_{+I} + F_{+I}, \\
&QF_{+I} = (\mathcal{D}_0 + i\mathcal{D}_1)\psi_{+IL} + \phi\,\psi_{+IR} - i(\psi_0 + i\psi_1)\phi_{+I}, \\
&Q\phi^\dagger_{+I} = -\bar{\psi}_{+IR}, \qquad Q\bar{\psi}_{+IR} = \phi^\dagger_{+I}\,\phi, \qquad Q\bar{\psi}_{+IL} = (\mathcal{D}_0 - i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi^\dagger_{+I} + F^\dagger_{+I}, \\
&QF^\dagger_{+I} = (\mathcal{D}_0 - i\mathcal{D}_1)\bar{\psi}_{+IR} - \bar{\psi}_{+IL}\,\phi + i\phi^\dagger_{+I}(\psi_0 - i\psi_1),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.6}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Q\phi_{-I'} = -\psi_{-I'L}, \qquad Q\psi_{-I'L} = -\phi_{-I'}\,\phi, \qquad Q\psi_{-I'R} = (\mathcal{D}_0 + i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi_{-I'} + F_{-I'}, \\
&QF_{-I'} = (\mathcal{D}_0 + i\mathcal{D}_1)\psi_{-I'L} - \psi_{-I'R}\,\phi + i\phi_{-I'}(\psi_0 + i\psi_1), \\
&Q\phi^\dagger_{-I'} = -\bar{\psi}_{-I'R}, \qquad Q\bar{\psi}_{-I'R} = -\phi\,\phi^\dagger_{-I'}, \qquad Q\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} = (\mathcal{D}_0 - i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi^\dagger_{-I'} + F^\dagger_{-I'}, \\
&QF^\dagger_{-I'} = (\mathcal{D}_0 - i\mathcal{D}_1)\bar{\psi}_{-I'R} + \phi\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} - i(\psi_0 - i\psi_1)\phi^\dagger_{-I'}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.7}
$$

It is easily seen that Q is nilpotent up to the infinitesimal gauge transformation with the

---

[6] This notation is based on the representation under the twisted U(1) group – the diagonal sum of the two-dimensional rotational group and U$(1)_V$, which corresponds to the A model twist in Ref. [29].

(complexified) parameter ϕ. The action (2.2) can be expressed as the Q-exact form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{(E)}_{\mathrm{SYM}} &= Q\frac{1}{g^2}\int \mathrm{d}^2x\,\mathrm{tr}\left[-i\chi(F_{01}-D)+\frac{1}{4}\eta[\phi,\bar{\phi}]-i\psi_\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{\phi}\right], &(2.8)\\
S^{(E)}_{\mathrm{mat},+} &= Q\int \mathrm{d}^2x\sum_{I=1}^{n_+}\frac{1}{2}\Big[\,\bar{\psi}_{+IL}\left((\mathcal{D}_0+i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi_{+I}-F_{+I}\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad +\left((\mathcal{D}_0-i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi^\dagger_{+I}-F^\dagger_{+I}\right)\psi_{+IR}\\
&\qquad\qquad +\bar{\psi}_{+IR}\,\bar{\phi}\,\phi_{+I}-\phi^\dagger_{+I}\,\bar{\phi}\,\psi_{+IL}+2i\phi^\dagger_{+I}\chi\phi_{+I}\Big], &(2.9)\\
S^{(E)}_{\mathrm{mat},-} &= Q\int \mathrm{d}^2x\sum_{I'=1}^{n_-}\frac{1}{2}\Big[\,\left((\mathcal{D}_0+i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi_{-I'}-F_{-I'}\right)\bar{\psi}_{-I'L}\\
&\qquad\qquad +\psi_{-I'R}\left((\mathcal{D}_0-i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi^\dagger_{-I'}-F^\dagger_{-I'}\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad -\phi_{-I'}\,\bar{\phi}\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'R}+\psi_{-I'L}\,\bar{\phi}\,\phi^\dagger_{-I'}-2i\phi_{-I'}\chi\phi^\dagger_{-I'}\Big]. &(2.10)
\end{aligned}
$$

In these formulas, Q acts to the gauge invariant expressions, from which the Q invariance of the actions follows. By rewriting the auxiliary field as $H \equiv iD + iF_{01}$, the SYM sector exactly coincides to the corresponding SYM action discussed in [1, 2].

2.1 Superpotentials, Mass Terms, FI and ϑ-Terms

We can introduce interactions among the matter fields $\Phi_{+I}, \Phi_{-I'}$ in the form of the dimensional reduction of the superpotentials in $3+1$ dimensions:

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4x'\left[W(\Phi_+,\Phi_-)\Big|_{\theta\theta}+\bar{W}(\Phi^\dagger_+,\Phi^\dagger_-)\Big|_{\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}}\right], \tag{2.11}$$

where the gauge and flavor indices of the fields are appropriately contracted.

Here, two kinds of mass terms can be introduced to the matters. One is the complex mass terms, which are included in the superpotentials. The fermion part is

$$\sum_{I,I'}\left[m_{II'}\left(\psi_{-I'L}\,\psi_{+IR}-\psi_{-I'R}\,\psi_{+IL}\right)+m^*_{I'I}\left(\bar{\psi}_{+IR}\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'L}-\bar{\psi}_{+IL}\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'R}\right)\right]. \tag{2.12}$$

The complex masses preserve $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$, but not $\mathrm{U}(1)_V$. Then, $\mathrm{U}(1)_V$ combined with $\mathrm{U}(1)_a$, which is a U(1)-subgroup of the flavor rotation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{U}(1)_a: \qquad \Phi_{+I}&\to e^{i\alpha}\,\Phi_{+I}, &\Phi_{-I'}&\to e^{i\alpha}\,\Phi_{-I'},\\
\Phi^\dagger_{+I}&\to e^{-i\alpha}\,\Phi^\dagger_{+I}, &\Phi^\dagger_{-I'}&\to e^{-i\alpha}\,\Phi^\dagger_{-I'}, \qquad (\alpha\in\mathbf{R})
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.13}
$$

can become a symmetry.

The other is the twisted mass terms, which are not included in the superpotentials [30]. They can be introduced by gauging the $\mathrm{U}(1)^{n_+} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{n_-}$ of the flavor symmetry and fixing the corresponding vector superfields to the background values as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{I=1}^{n_+} \Phi^\dagger_{+I}\, e^{V}\, \Phi_{+I} \quad &\to \quad \sum_{I=1}^{n_+} \Phi^\dagger_{+I}\, e^{V-\widetilde{V}_{+I}}\, \Phi_{+I}, \\ \sum_{I'=1}^{n_-} \Phi_{-I'}\, e^{-V}\, \Phi^\dagger_{-I'} \quad &\to \quad \sum_{I'=1}^{n_-} \Phi_{-I'}\, e^{-V+\widetilde{V}_{-I'}}\, \Phi^\dagger_{-I'} \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{V}_{+I} &\equiv 2\theta_R\bar{\theta}_L\, \widetilde{m}_{+I} + 2\theta_L\bar{\theta}_R\, \widetilde{m}^*_{+I}\,, \\ \widetilde{V}_{-I'} &\equiv 2\theta_R\bar{\theta}_L\, \widetilde{m}_{-I'} + 2\theta_L\bar{\theta}_R\, \widetilde{m}^*_{-I'}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

They give the following mass terms to the fermions:

$$\sum_{I=1}^{n_+} \left(\widetilde{m}_{+I}\, \bar{\psi}_{+IL}\, \psi_{+IR} + \widetilde{m}^*_{+I}\, \bar{\psi}_{+IR}\, \psi_{+IL}\right) + \sum_{I'=1}^{n_-} \left(\widetilde{m}_{-I'}\, \psi_{-I'R}\, \bar{\psi}_{-I'L} + \widetilde{m}^*_{-I'}\, \psi_{-I'L}\, \bar{\psi}_{-I'R}\right). \tag{2.16}$$

The twisted masses preserve $\mathrm{U}(1)_V$, but not $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$. It should be noted that the flavor symmetry of the matter-part action is broken from $\mathrm{U}(n_+) \times \mathrm{U}(n_-)$ to $\mathrm{U}(1)^{n_+} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{n_-}$ by introducing generic twisted masses.

Because $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ transforms the left-handed fermions and the right-handed fermions differently, it can be anomalous at the quantum level. We will discuss it in section 4.

In the presence of the twisted masses, the supersymmetry transformations for the matters are deformed. (For the explicit form, see (A.19), (A.20).) In particular, the Q transformation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & Q\phi_{+I} = -\psi_{+IL}, \qquad Q\psi_{+IL} = -(\phi - \widetilde{m}_{+I})\phi_{+I}, \\ & Q\psi_{+IR} = (\mathcal{D}_0 + i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi_{+I} + F_{+I}, \\ & QF_{+I} = (\mathcal{D}_0 + i\mathcal{D}_1)\psi_{+IL} + (\phi - \widetilde{m}_{+I})\psi_{+IR} - i(\psi_0 + i\psi_1)\phi_{+I}, \\ & Q\phi^\dagger_{+I} = -\bar{\psi}_{+IR}, \qquad Q\bar{\psi}_{+IR} = \phi^\dagger_{+I}(\phi - \widetilde{m}_{+I}), \\ & Q\bar{\psi}_{+IL} = (\mathcal{D}_0 - i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi^\dagger_{+I} + F^\dagger_{+I}, \\ & QF^\dagger_{+I} = (\mathcal{D}_0 - i\mathcal{D}_1)\bar{\psi}_{+IR} - \bar{\psi}_{+IL}(\phi - \widetilde{m}_{+I}) + i\phi^\dagger_{+I}(\psi_0 - i\psi_1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Q\phi_{-I'} = -\psi_{-I'L}, \qquad Q\psi_{-I'L} = -\phi_{-I'}(\phi - \widetilde{m}_{-I'}), \\ & Q\psi_{-I'R} = (\mathcal{D}_0 + i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi_{-I'} + F_{-I'}, \\ & QF_{-I'} = (\mathcal{D}_0 + i\mathcal{D}_1)\psi_{-I'L} - \psi_{-I'R}(\phi - \widetilde{m}_{-I'}) + i\phi_{-I'}(\psi_0 + i\psi_1), \\ & Q\phi^\dagger_{-I'} = -\bar{\psi}_{-I'R}, \qquad Q\bar{\psi}_{-I'R} = -(\phi - \widetilde{m}_{-I'})\phi^\dagger_{-I'}, \\ & Q\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} = (\mathcal{D}_0 - i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi^\dagger_{-I'} + F^\dagger_{-I'}, \\ & QF^\dagger_{-I'} = (\mathcal{D}_0 - i\mathcal{D}_1)\bar{\psi}_{-I'R} + (\phi - \widetilde{m}_{-I'})\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} - i(\psi_0 - i\psi_1)\phi^\dagger_{-I'}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Then, Q is nilpotent up to the combination of the infinitesimal gauge transformation with the (complexified) parameter ϕ and the infinitesimal flavor rotations with the (complexified) parameters $\widetilde{m}_{+I}, \widetilde{m}_{-I'}$ acting as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\Phi_{+I} = -\widetilde{m}_{+I}\Phi_{+I}, \qquad \delta\Phi^\dagger_{+I} = \widetilde{m}_{+I}\Phi^\dagger_{+I}, \\ \delta\Phi_{-I'} = \widetilde{m}_{-I'}\Phi_{-I'}, \qquad \delta\Phi^\dagger_{-I'} = -\widetilde{m}_{-I'}\Phi^\dagger_{-I'}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

The matter-part actions, the Wick rotation of (A.17) and (A.18), can be written as the Q-exact form:

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(E)}_{\text{mat},+\widetilde{m}} &= Q\int \mathrm{d}^2x \sum_{I=1}^{n_+} \frac{1}{2}\Big[\bar{\psi}_{+IL}\left((\mathcal{D}_0 + i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi_{+I} - F_{+I}\right) \\ &\qquad + \left((\mathcal{D}_0 - i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi^\dagger_{+I} - F^\dagger_{+I}\right)\psi_{+IR} \\ &\qquad + \bar{\psi}_{+IR}(\bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{+I})\phi_{+I} - \phi^\dagger_{+I}(\bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{+I})\psi_{+IL} + 2i\phi^\dagger_{+I}\chi\phi_{+I}\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(E)}_{\text{mat},-\widetilde{m}} &= Q\int \mathrm{d}^2x \sum_{I'=1}^{n_-} \frac{1}{2}\Big[\left((\mathcal{D}_0 + i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi_{-I'} - F_{-I'}\right)\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} \\ &\qquad + \psi_{-I'R}\left((\mathcal{D}_0 - i\mathcal{D}_1)\phi^\dagger_{-I'} - F^\dagger_{-I'}\right) \\ &\qquad - \phi_{-I'}(\bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{-I'})\bar{\psi}_{-I'R} + \psi_{-I'L}(\bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{-I'})\phi^\dagger_{-I'} - 2i\phi_{-I'}\chi\phi^\dagger_{-I'}\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

In the above, Q acts to the gauge invariant expressions possessing the flavor symmetry $\mathrm{U}(1)^{n_+} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{n_-}$, which shows the Q invariance of the actions.

Also, the superpotential terms can be expressed as the Q-exact form:

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(E)}_{\text{pot}} &= Q\int \mathrm{d}^2x \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\sum_{I=1}^{n_+}\left(-\frac{\partial W(\phi_+,\phi_-)}{\partial\phi_{+Ii}}\psi_{+IRi} - \bar{\psi}_{+ILi}\frac{\partial\bar{W}(\phi^\dagger_+,\phi^\dagger_-)}{\partial\phi^*_{+Ii}}\right)\right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \sum_{I'=1}^{n_-}\left(-\psi_{-I'Ri}\frac{\partial W(\phi_+,\phi_-)}{\partial\phi_{-I'i}} - \frac{\partial\bar{W}(\phi^\dagger_+,\phi^\dagger_-)}{\partial\phi^*_{-I'i}}\bar{\psi}_{-I'Li}\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

where we wrote the gauge index $i(= 1, \cdots, N)$ explicitly.

For the case $G = \mathrm{U}(N)$, the FI and ϑ-terms can be introduced to the action:

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(E)}_{\text{FI},\vartheta} &= \int \mathrm{d}^2x\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\kappa D - i\frac{\vartheta}{2\pi}F_{01}\right) \\ &= Q\kappa\int \mathrm{d}^2x\,\mathrm{tr}\,(-i\chi) - i\frac{\vartheta - 2\pi i\kappa}{2\pi}\int \mathrm{d}^2x\,\mathrm{tr}\,F_{01} \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

with κ being the FI parameter. The second term in the r.h.s. is a topological term, and thus Q-invariant. The first term yields the ϑ-term with the imaginary value $\vartheta = 2\pi i\kappa$, that is compensated by the second term. The Q-exact action gives the imaginary valued ϑ-term, which is common to the four-dimensional case [31].

3 Two-Dimensional Lattice $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ SQCD

In this section, we latticize the continuum theory discussed in the previous section with realizing the Q-supersymmetry exactly. The lattice is the two-dimensional square lattice with the spacing a, the sites of which are labeled by $x \in \mathbf{Z}^2$. The gauge field $A_\mu(x)$ is promoted to the variable $U_\mu(x) = e^{iaA_\mu(x)}$ on the link $(x, x+\hat{\mu})$. All the other fields are distributed on the lattice sites.

3.1 SYM Part of Lattice Theory

The supersymmetry transformation for the SYM fields (2.5) can be realized on the lattice as

$$\begin{aligned}
&QU_\mu(x) = i\psi_\mu(x)U_\mu(x), \qquad QU_\mu(x)^{-1} = -iU_\mu(x)^{-1}\psi_\mu(x),\\
&Q\psi_\mu(x) = i\psi_\mu(x)\psi_\mu(x) - i\left(\phi(x) - U_\mu(x)\phi(x+\hat{\mu})U_\mu(x)^{-1}\right),\\
&Q\phi(x) = 0,\\
&Q\bar{\phi}(x) = \eta(x), \qquad Q\eta(x) = [\phi(x), \bar{\phi}(x)],\\
&Q\chi(x) = iD(x) + \frac{i}{2}\widehat{\Phi}(x), \qquad QD(x) = -\frac{1}{2}Q\widehat{\Phi}(x) - i[\phi(x), \chi(x)]\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\widehat{\Phi}(x)$ is a lattice counterpart of $2F_{01}(x)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(x) = -i(U_{01}(x) - U_{10}(x)), \qquad U_{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv U_\mu(x)U_\nu(x+\hat{\nu})U_\mu(x+\hat{\nu})^{-1}U_\nu(x)^{-1},\\
&\widehat{\Phi}(x) \equiv \frac{\Phi(x)}{1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}||1 - U_{01}(x)||^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The norm of an arbitrary complex matrix A is defined as $||A|| \equiv \sqrt{\mathrm{tr}(AA^\dagger)}$, and ϵ is a constant chosen as

$$0 < \epsilon < 2 \qquad \text{for} \quad G = \mathrm{U}(N). \tag{3.3}$$

In the case $G = \mathrm{SU}(N)$, here and in what follows, $\widehat{\Phi}(x)$ is understood to be replaced with its traceless part:

$$\widehat{\Phi}_{\mathrm{TL}}(x) \equiv \widehat{\Phi}(x) - \frac{1}{N}\left(\mathrm{tr}\,\widehat{\Phi}(x)\right)\mathbb{1}_N, \tag{3.4}$$

and ϵ is chosen as

$$\begin{aligned}
&0 < \epsilon < 2\sqrt{2} && \text{for} \quad G = \mathrm{SU}(2), \mathrm{SU}(3), \mathrm{SU}(4),\\
&0 < \epsilon < 2\sqrt{N}\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right) && \text{for} \quad G = \mathrm{SU}(N) \quad (N \geq 5).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The transformation (3.1) is defined for the lattice gauge fields satisfying the admissibility condition:

$$||1 - U_{01}(x)|| < \epsilon, \tag{3.6}$$

and Q is nilpotent up to the infinitesimal gauge transformation with the parameter $\phi(x)$ on the lattice.

We can construct the Q-invariant lattice action as the Q-exact form:

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\rm LAT}_{\rm 2DSYM} &= Q\frac{1}{g_0^2}\sum_x {\rm tr}\left[\chi(x)\left(-\frac{i}{2}\widehat{\Phi}(x)+iD(x)\right)+\frac{1}{4}\eta(x)[\phi(x),\bar{\phi}(x)]\right. \\ &\qquad\left. +i\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}\psi_\mu(x)\left(\bar{\phi}(x)-U_\mu(x)\bar{\phi}(x+\hat{\mu})U_\mu(x)^{-1}\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

for the admissible gauge fields satisfying (3.6) for $\forall x$, and

$$S^{\rm LAT}_{\rm 2DSYM} = +\infty \qquad \text{otherwises.} \tag{3.8}$$

It is straightforward to see that the Q-action in the r.h.s. of (3.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\rm LAT}_{\rm 2DSYM} &= \frac{1}{g_0^2}\sum_x {\rm tr}\left[\frac{1}{4}\widehat{\Phi}(x)^2+i\chi(x)Q\widehat{\Phi}(x)-D(x)^2\right. \\ &\quad -\chi(x)[\phi(x),\chi(x)]+\frac{1}{4}[\phi(x),\bar{\phi}(x)]^2-\frac{1}{4}\eta(x)[\phi(x),\eta(x)] \\ &\quad +\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}\left(\phi(x)-U_\mu(x)\phi(x+\hat{\mu})U_\mu(x)^{-1}\right)\left(\bar{\phi}(x)-U_\mu(x)\bar{\phi}(x+\hat{\mu})U_\mu(x)^{-1}\right) \\ &\quad -i\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}\psi_\mu(x)\left(\eta(x)-U_\mu(x)\eta(x+\hat{\mu})U_\mu(x)^{-1}\right) \\ &\quad \left.-\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}\psi_\mu(x)\psi_\mu(x)\left(\bar{\phi}(x)+U_\mu(x)\bar{\phi}(x)U_\mu(x)^{-1}\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

which reduces to the continuum expression (2.8) in the naive continuum limit.

Concerning the gauge fields, the form of the action is essentially same as that of Lüscher's lattice action of the four-dimensional chiral U(1) gauge theory [32]. Note that the Boltzmann weight $\exp[-S^{\rm LAT}_{\rm SYM}]$ is smooth and infinitely differentiable with respect to the lattice fields, and gives no contribution from the field configurations not admissible. In this way, the gauge field configurations are effectively restricted to the admissible ones with the smoothness, and the degeneracy of the vacua is resolved to single out the vacuum $U_{01}(x)=\mathbb{1}_N$ without spoiling the Q-supersymmetry [2].

The SYM part exactly coincides to the pure SYM model discussed in [2], after renaming the auxiliary field as

$$H(x)\equiv iD(x)+\frac{i}{2}\widehat{\Phi}(x). \tag{3.10}$$

For the case $G=\mathrm{U}(N)$, the FI term can be introduced to the action as the Q-exact form

$$Q\kappa\sum_x {\rm tr}\left(-i\chi(x)\right)=\kappa\sum_x {\rm tr}\left[D(x)+\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\Phi}(x)\right] \tag{3.11}$$

with the counterpart of the imaginary ϑ-term ($\vartheta = 2\pi i\kappa$) accompanied. Similarly to the continuum case, to compensate the imaginary ϑ-term, we can independently add the topological quantity on the lattice

$$-\frac{\vartheta - 2\pi i\kappa}{2\pi}\sum_x \mathrm{tr}\,\ln U_{01}(x), \tag{3.12}$$

which is Q-invariant due to the topological property. In fact, for the case of finite periodic lattices or the infinite lattice,

$$\begin{aligned} Q\sum_x \mathrm{tr}\,\ln U_{01}(x) &= \sum_x \mathrm{tr}\left[U_{01}(x)^{-1}QU_{01}(x)\right] \\ &= i\sum_x \mathrm{tr}\left[\psi_0(x) + \psi_1(x+\hat{0}) - \psi_0(x+\hat{1}) - \psi_1(x)\right] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Note that the logarithm of the plaquette variables is guaranteed to be well-defined by imposing the admissibility condition stronger than (3.3) with

$$0 < \epsilon < 1. \tag{3.14}$$

Combining these, we can incorporate the Q-invariant FI and ϑ-terms into the lattice action as

$$S^{\mathrm{LAT}}_{\mathrm{FI},\,\vartheta} = Q\kappa\sum_x \mathrm{tr}\,(-i\chi(x)) - \frac{\vartheta - 2\pi i\kappa}{2\pi}\sum_x \mathrm{tr}\,\ln U_{01}(x) \tag{3.15}$$

by choosing ϵ as (3.14).

Although the action (3.9) has noncompact flat directions with respect to $\phi(x), \bar{\phi}(x)$, it can be lifted by introducing suitable couplings to the matter sector as discussed in [30, 33]. It will also be possible to construct the lattice SYM part with the compact Higgs fields, similarly to Ref. [4]. (See also [34].)

3.2 Matter Part of Lattice Theory

In order to latticize the matter part, we introduce the covariant forward (backward) difference operators D_μ (D^*_μ) by

$$\begin{aligned} aD_\mu\Phi_{+I}(x) &= U_\mu(x)\Phi_{+I}(x+\hat{\mu}) - \Phi_{+I}(x), \\ aD^*_\mu\Phi_{+I}(x) &= \Phi_{+I}(x) - U_\mu(x-\hat{\mu})^{-1}\Phi_{+I}(x-\hat{\mu}), \\ aD_\mu\Phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger &= \Phi_{+I}(x+\hat{\mu})^\dagger U_\mu(x)^{-1} - \Phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger, \\ aD^*_\mu\Phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger &= \Phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger - \Phi_{+I}(x-\hat{\mu})^\dagger U_\mu(x-\hat{\mu}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} aD_\mu\Phi_{-I'}(x) &= \Phi_{-I'}(x+\hat{\mu})U_\mu(x)^{-1} - \Phi_{-I'}(x), \\ aD^*_\mu\Phi_{-I'}(x) &= \Phi_{-I'}(x) - \Phi_{-I'}(x-\hat{\mu})U_\mu(x-\hat{\mu}), \\ aD_\mu\Phi_{-I'}(x)^\dagger &= U_\mu(x)\Phi_{-I'}(x+\hat{\mu})^\dagger - \Phi_{-I'}(x)^\dagger, \\ aD^*_\mu\Phi_{-I'}(x)^\dagger &= \Phi_{-I'}(x)^\dagger - U_\mu(x-\hat{\mu})^{-1}\Phi_{-I'}(x-\hat{\mu})^\dagger, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

and denote their symmetric and anti-symmetric combinations as

$$D^S_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2}(D_\mu + D^*_\mu), \qquad D^A_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2}(D_\mu - D^*_\mu). \tag{3.18}$$

For the case $n_+ = n_-(\equiv n)$, the Q-supersymmetry transformations for the matter fields (2.6), (2.7) can be realized on the lattice as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q\phi_{+I}(x) = -\psi_{+IL}(x), \qquad Q\psi_{+IL}(x) = -\left(\phi(x) - \widetilde{m}_{+I}\right)\phi_{+I}(x),\\
&Q\psi_{+IR}(x) = a(D^S_0 + iD^S_1)\phi_{+I}(x) + \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} raD^A_\mu \phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger + F_{+I}(x),\\
&QF_{+I}(x) = \left(\phi(x) - \widetilde{m}_{+I}\right)\psi_{+IR}(x) + a(D^S_0 + iD^S_1)\psi_{+IL}(x) + \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} raD^A_\mu \bar{\psi}_{-IR}(x)\\
&\qquad -i\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_0(x)U_0(x)\phi_{+I}(x+\hat{0}) + U_0(x-\hat{0})^{-1}\psi_0(x-\hat{0})\phi_{+I}(x-\hat{0})\right)\\
&\qquad +\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_1(x)U_1(x)\phi_{+I}(x+\hat{1}) + U_1(x-\hat{1})^{-1}\psi_1(x-\hat{1})\phi_{+I}(x-\hat{1})\right)\\
&\qquad -\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}\frac{ir}{2}\left(\psi_\mu(x)U_\mu(x)\phi_{-I}(x+\hat{\mu})^\dagger - U_\mu(x-\hat{\mu})^{-1}\psi_\mu(x-\hat{\mu})\phi_{-I}(x-\hat{\mu})^\dagger\right),\\
&Q\phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger = -\bar{\psi}_{+IR}(x), \qquad Q\bar{\psi}_{+IR}(x) = \phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger\left(\phi(x) - \widetilde{m}_{+I}\right),\\
&Q\bar{\psi}_{+IL}(x) = a(D^S_0 - iD^S_1)\phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger + \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} raD^A_\mu \phi_{-I}(x) + F_{+I}(x)^\dagger,\\
&QF_{+I}(x)^\dagger = -\bar{\psi}_{+IL}(x)\left(\phi(x) - \widetilde{m}_{+I}\right) + a(D^S_0 - iD^S_1)\bar{\psi}_{+IR}(x) + \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} raD^A_\mu \psi_{-IL}(x)\\
&\qquad +i\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{+I}(x+\hat{0})^\dagger U_0(x)^{-1}\psi_0(x) + \phi_{+I}(x-\hat{0})^\dagger\psi_0(x-\hat{0})U_0(x-\hat{0})\right)\\
&\qquad +\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{+I}(x+\hat{1})^\dagger U_1(x)^{-1}\psi_1(x) + \phi_{+I}(x-\hat{1})^\dagger\psi_1(x-\hat{1})U_1(x-\hat{1})\right)\\
&\qquad +\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}\frac{ir}{2}\left(\phi_{-I}(x+\hat{\mu})U_\mu(x)^{-1}\psi_\mu(x) - \phi_{-I}(x-\hat{\mu})\psi_\mu(x-\hat{\mu})U_\mu(x-\hat{\mu})\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q\phi_{-I}(x) = -\psi_{-IL}(x), \qquad Q\psi_{-IL}(x) = \phi_{-I}(x)\left(\phi(x) - \widetilde{m}_{-I}\right), \\
& Q\psi_{-IR}(x) = a(D_0^S + iD_1^S)\phi_{-I}(x) + \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} raD_\mu^A \phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger + F_{-I}(x), \\
& QF_{-I}(x) = -\psi_{-IR}(x)\left(\phi(x) - \widetilde{m}_{-I}\right) + a(D_0^S + iD_1^S)\psi_{-IL}(x) + \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} raD_\mu^A \bar{\psi}_{+IR}(x) \\
& \qquad + i\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{-I}(x+\hat{0})U_0(x)^{-1}\psi_0(x) + \phi_{-I}(x-\hat{0})\psi_0(x-\hat{0})U_0(x-\hat{0})\right) \\
& \qquad - \frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{-I}(x+\hat{1})U_1(x)^{-1}\psi_1(x) + \phi_{-I}(x-\hat{1})\psi_1(x-\hat{1})U_1(x-\hat{1})\right) \\
& \qquad + \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} \frac{ir}{2}\left(\phi_{+I}(x+\hat{\mu})^\dagger U_\mu(x)^{-1}\psi_\mu(x) - \phi_{+I}(x-\hat{\mu})^\dagger \psi_\mu(x-\hat{\mu})U_\mu(x-\hat{\mu})\right), \\
& Q\phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger = -\bar{\psi}_{-IR}(x), \qquad Q\bar{\psi}_{-IR}(x) = -\left(\phi(x) - \widetilde{m}_{-I}\right)\phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger, \\
& Q\bar{\psi}_{-IL}(x) = a(D_0^S - iD_1^S)\phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger + \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} raD_\mu^A \phi_{+I}(x) + F_{-I}(x)^\dagger, \\
& QF_{-I}(x)^\dagger = \left(\phi(x) - \widetilde{m}_{-I}\right)\bar{\psi}_{-IL}(x) + a(D_0^S - iD_1^S)\bar{\psi}_{-IR}(x) + \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} raD_\mu^A \psi_{+IL}(x) \\
& \qquad - i\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_0(x)U_0(x)\phi_{-I}(x+\hat{0})^\dagger + U_0(x-\hat{0})^{-1}\psi_0(x-\hat{0})\phi_{-I}(x-\hat{0})^\dagger\right) \\
& \qquad - \frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_1(x)U_1(x)\phi_{-I}(x+\hat{1})^\dagger + U_1(x-\hat{1})^{-1}\psi_1(x-\hat{1})\phi_{-I}(x-\hat{1})^\dagger\right) \\
& \qquad - \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} \frac{ir}{2}\left(\psi_\mu(x)U_\mu(x)\phi_{+I}(x+\hat{\mu}) - U_\mu(x-\hat{\mu})^{-1}\psi_\mu(x-\hat{\mu})\phi_{+I}(x-\hat{\mu})\right). \qquad (3.20)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, r is a real positive parameter, and the Wilson terms containing r are necessary to suppress the contribution from the species doublers appearing in both of the fermionic and bosonic degrees of freedom[7]. From the structure of the Wilson terms, which connect the fundamentals and anti-fundamentals in each flavor I, we must take $n_+ = n_-$. The nilpotency of Q holds similarly to the continuum case, except for the auxiliary fields:

---

[7] It is consistent with the supersymmetry preserved on the lattice. The species doublers can be explicitly seen from the poles of the propagators (4.27) – (4.30).

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^2F_{+I}(x) &= \left(\phi(x)-\widetilde{m}_{+I}\right)F_{+I}(x)-\left(\widetilde{m}_{+I}-\widetilde{m}_{-I}\right)\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}raD_\mu^A\phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger,\\
Q^2F_{+I}(x)^\dagger &= -F_{+I}(x)^\dagger\left(\phi(x)-\widetilde{m}_{+I}\right)+\left(\widetilde{m}_{+I}-\widetilde{m}_{-I}\right)\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}raD_\mu^A\phi_{-I}(x),\\
Q^2F_{-I}(x) &= -F_{-I}(x)\left(\phi(x)-\widetilde{m}_{-I}\right)-\left(\widetilde{m}_{+I}-\widetilde{m}_{-I}\right)\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}raD_\mu^A\phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger,\\
Q^2F_{-I}(x)^\dagger &= \left(\phi(x)-\widetilde{m}_{-I}\right)F_{-I}(x)^\dagger+\left(\widetilde{m}_{+I}-\widetilde{m}_{-I}\right)\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}raD_\mu^A\phi_{+I}(x), \qquad (3.21)
\end{aligned}$$

where the contribution from the Wilson terms violates the nilpotency. If we focus on the case

$$\widetilde{m}_{+I}=\widetilde{m}_{-I}(\equiv\widetilde{m}_I), \qquad (3.22)$$

the violation disappears and the Q-supersymmetry becomes entirely nilpotent up to the combination of the infinitesimal gauge transformation with the parameter $\phi(x)$ and the infinitesimal flavor rotations with the parameters $\widetilde{m}_I$ acting as

$$\delta\Phi_{\pm I}=\mp\widetilde{m}_I\Phi_{\pm I}, \qquad \delta\Phi_{\pm I}^\dagger=\pm\widetilde{m}_I\Phi_{\pm I}^\dagger. \qquad (3.23)$$

Then, the matter parts of the action (2.20), (2.21) can be transcribed on the lattice as the Q-exact form:

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{\text{LAT}}_{\text{mat},+\widetilde{m}} &= Q\sum_x\sum_{I=1}^{n}\frac{1}{2}\Bigg[\bar\psi_{+IL}(x)\left\{a(D_0^S+iD_1^S)\phi_{+I}(x)+\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}raD_\mu^A\phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger-F_{+I}(x)\right\}\\
&\quad+\left\{a(D_0^S-iD_1^S)\phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger+\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}raD_\mu^A\phi_{-I}(x)-F_{+I}(x)^\dagger\right\}\psi_{+IR}(x)\\
&\quad+\bar\psi_{+IR}(x)\left(\bar\phi(x)-\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}\right)\phi_{+I}(x)-\phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger\left(\bar\phi(x)-\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}\right)\psi_{+IL}(x)\\
&\quad+2i\phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger\chi(x)\phi_{+I}(x)\Bigg], \qquad (3.24)\\
S^{\text{LAT}}_{\text{mat},-\widetilde{m}} &= Q\sum_x\sum_{I=1}^{n}\frac{1}{2}\Bigg[\left\{a(D_0^S+iD_1^S)\phi_{-I}(x)+\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}raD_\mu^A\phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger-F_{-I}(x)\right\}\bar\psi_{-IL}(x)\\
&\quad+\psi_{-IR}(x)\left\{a(D_0^S-iD_1^S)\phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger+\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}raD_\mu^A\phi_{+I}(x)-F_{-I}(x)^\dagger\right\}\\
&\quad-\phi_{-I}(x)\left(\bar\phi(x)-\widetilde{m}^*_{-I}\right)\bar\psi_{-IR}(x)+\psi_{-IL}(x)\left(\bar\phi(x)-\widetilde{m}^*_{-I}\right)\phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger\\
&\quad-2i\phi_{-I}(x)\chi(x)\phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger\Bigg]. \qquad (3.25)
\end{aligned}$$

Due to the Wilson terms, the flavor symmetry of the lattice actions (3.24), (3.25) reduces to $\mathrm{U}(1)^n$, the diagonal subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(1)^n \times \mathrm{U}(1)^n$ of the continuum case for $n_+ = n_- \equiv n$. Thus, the actions are guaranteed to be Q-invariant, when the flavor rotation generated by Q^2 falls into the diagonal $\mathrm{U}(1)^n$. Again, this is nothing but the case of (3.22). In what follows, we consider the case (3.22). Note that we can still freely choose the anti-holomorphic twisted masses $\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}, \widetilde{m}^*_{-I}$.

Also, for the superpotential terms (2.22), it is straightforward to write down the lattice counterpart

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\mathrm{LAT}}_{\mathrm{pot}} &= Q \sum_x \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{I=1}^n \left[-\frac{\partial W(\phi_+, \phi_-)}{\partial \phi_{+Ii}(x)} \psi_{+IRi}(x) - \bar{\psi}_{+ILi}(x) \frac{\partial \bar{W}(\phi_+^\dagger, \phi_-^\dagger)}{\partial \phi_{+Ii}(x)^*} \right. \\ &\qquad \left. - \psi_{-IRi}(x) \frac{\partial W(\phi_+, \phi_-)}{\partial \phi_{-Ii}(x)} - \frac{\partial \bar{W}(\phi_+^\dagger, \phi_-^\dagger)}{\partial \phi_{-Ii}(x)^*} \bar{\psi}_{-ILi}(x) \right] . \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Note that all the terms are not exactly holomorphic or anti-holomorphic, because the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic fields are mixed at the order $\mathcal{O}(a)$ through the contribution from the Wilson terms.

4 $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ Anomaly

We analyze the anomaly for the $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ R-symmetry in the system with the twisted mass terms introduced, for both cases of the continuum and the lattice, i.e.

$$S^{(E)}_{\mathrm{2DSQCD},\widetilde{m}} \equiv S^{(E)}_{\mathrm{2DSYM}} + S^{(E)}_{\mathrm{mat},+\widetilde{m}} + S^{(E)}_{\mathrm{mat},-\widetilde{m}} \qquad \text{for the continuum case,} \tag{4.1}$$

$$S^{\mathrm{LAT}}_{\mathrm{2DSQCD},\widetilde{m}} \equiv S^{\mathrm{LAT}}_{\mathrm{2DSYM}} + S^{\mathrm{LAT}}_{\mathrm{mat},+\widetilde{m}} + S^{\mathrm{LAT}}_{\mathrm{mat},-\widetilde{m}} \qquad \text{for the lattice case.} \tag{4.2}$$

In this section, we consider the case $G = \mathrm{U}(N)$.

In particular, although the Q-invariant lattice action presented in the previous section is defined in the case $n_+ = n_-$, by sending some of the anti-holomorphic twisted masses ($\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}$'s or $\widetilde{m}^*_{-I}$'s) to the infinity, we show that the anomaly for the case $n_+ \neq n_-$ is correctly obtained from our lattice action.

4.1 $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ Anomaly in the Continuum Theory

Without taking into account the quantum effect, the Ward-Takahashi (WT) identity for the $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ rotation is naively derived as

$$\langle \partial_\mu j_{A\mu}(x) \rangle \overset{\mathrm{naive}}{=} \langle M(x) \rangle , \tag{4.3}$$

where $j_{A\mu}(x)$ is the corresponding Noether current, and $M(x)$ represents the explicit breaking by the twisted mass terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
j_{A\mu}(x) &= j_{A\mu}^{\mathrm{SYM}}(x) + j_{A\mu}^{\mathrm{mat}}(x), && (4.4)\\
j_{A\mu}^{\mathrm{SYM}} &\equiv \frac{1}{g^2}\mathrm{tr}\left[2\phi\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{\phi} - 2(\mathcal{D}_\mu\phi)\bar{\phi} + i\eta\psi_\mu + 2i\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\chi\psi_\nu\right] \qquad (\epsilon_{01} = -\epsilon_{10} = +1), &&\\
j_{A0}^{\mathrm{mat}} &\equiv \sum_{I=1}^{n_+}\left(\bar{\psi}_{+IL}\,\psi_{+IL} - \bar{\psi}_{+IR}\,\psi_{+IR}\right) + \sum_{I'=1}^{n_-}\left(-\psi_{-I'L}\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} + \psi_{-I'R}\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'R}\right), &&\\
j_{A1}^{\mathrm{mat}} &\equiv \sum_{I=1}^{n_+}\left(i\bar{\psi}_{+IL}\,\psi_{+IL} + i\bar{\psi}_{+IR}\,\psi_{+IR}\right) + \sum_{I'=1}^{n_-}\left(-i\psi_{-I'L}\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} - i\psi_{-I'R}\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'R}\right), &&\\
M(x) &= M_B(x) + M_F(x), && (4.5)\\
M_B &\equiv 2\sum_{I=1}^{n_+}\left(\widetilde{m}_{+I}\,\phi_{+I}^\dagger\,\bar{\phi}\,\phi_{+I} - \widetilde{m}_{+I}^*\,\phi_{+I}^\dagger\,\phi\,\phi_{+I}\right) &&\\
&\quad +2\sum_{I'=1}^{n_-}\left(\widetilde{m}_{-I'}\,\phi_{-I'}\,\bar{\phi}\,\phi_{-I'}^\dagger - \widetilde{m}_{-I'}^*\,\phi_{-I'}\,\phi\,\phi_{-I'}^\dagger\right), &&\\
M_F &\equiv 2\sum_{I=1}^{n_+}\left(\widetilde{m}_{+I}\,\bar{\psi}_{+IL}\,\psi_{+IR} - \widetilde{m}_{+I}^*\,\bar{\psi}_{+IR}\,\psi_{+IL}\right) &&\\
&\quad +2\sum_{I'=1}^{n_-}\left(\widetilde{m}_{-I'}\,\psi_{-I'R}\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} - \widetilde{m}_{-I'}^*\,\psi_{-I'L}\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'R}\right). &&
\end{aligned}
$$

To derive the anomaly potentially arising in (4.3), we perform the perturbative computation for the matter multiplets up to the first order. Since the gaugino belongs to the adjoint representation of G, the SYM part does not contribute to the anomaly. First, let us calculate $\langle\!\langle j_{A\mu}^{\mathrm{mat}}(x)\rangle\!\rangle$, where $\langle\!\langle\cdot\rangle\!\rangle$ means the expectation value with respect to the integration of the matter fields under the action $S_{\mathrm{mat},+\widetilde{m}}^{(E)} + S_{\mathrm{mat},-\widetilde{m}}^{(E)}$. The propagators are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\phi_{+Ii}(x)\phi_{+Jj}(y)^*\rangle_0 &= \delta_{IJ}\delta_{ij}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^2p}{(2\pi)^2}\,e^{ip\cdot(x-y)}\Delta_{+I}(p),\\
\langle\phi_{-I'i}(x)^*\phi_{-J'j}(y)\rangle_0 &= \delta_{I'J'}\delta_{ij}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^2p}{(2\pi)^2}\,e^{ip\cdot(x-y)}\Delta_{-I'}(p),\\
\left\langle\psi_{+I\alpha i}(x)\bar{\psi}_{+J\beta j}(y)\right\rangle_0 &= \delta_{IJ}\delta_{ij}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^2p}{(2\pi)^2}\,e^{ip\cdot(x-y)}\left(T_{+I}(p)\right)_{\alpha\beta},\\
\left\langle\bar{\psi}_{-I'\alpha i}(x)\psi_{-J'\beta j}(y)\right\rangle_0 &= \delta_{IJ}\delta_{ij}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^2p}{(2\pi)^2}\,e^{ip\cdot(x-y)}\left(T_{-I'}(p)\right)_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad (4.6)
\end{aligned}
$$

with α, β running over the indices L, R, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{+I}(p) &\equiv \frac{1}{p^2 + \widetilde{m}_{+I}\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}}, \qquad T_{+I}(p) \equiv -\Delta_{+I}(p)\begin{pmatrix} ip_0 + p_1 & \widetilde{m}_{+I} \\ \widetilde{m}^*_{+I} & ip_0 - p_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\Delta_{-I'}(p) &\equiv \frac{1}{p^2 + \widetilde{m}_{-I'}\widetilde{m}^*_{-I'}}, \qquad T_{-I'}(p) \equiv -\Delta_{-I'}(p)\begin{pmatrix} ip_0 + p_1 & \widetilde{m}^*_{-I'} \\ \widetilde{m}_{-I'} & ip_0 - p_1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

In the zeroth order of the perturbation, it is easy to see that $\langle\!\langle j^{\rm mat}_{A\mu}(x)\rangle\!\rangle$ vanishes. Up to the first order, we have the result

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\!\langle j^{\rm mat}_{A\mu}(x)\rangle\!\rangle \;=\;& \int \frac{{\rm d}^2k}{(2\pi)^2}\, e^{ik\cdot x}\, \epsilon_{\mu\rho}\left(\sum_{I=1}^{n_+}\Pi_{+I\rho\nu}(k) - \sum_{I'=1}^{n_-}\Pi_{-I'\rho\nu}(k)\right) {\rm tr}\, \widetilde{A}_\nu(k) \\
& + \sum_{I=1}^{n_+}\frac{1}{4\pi}\int\frac{{\rm d}^2k}{(2\pi)^2}\, e^{ik\cdot x}\int_0^1 {\rm d}\alpha\, \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)k^2 + \widetilde{m}_{+I}\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}} \\
& \times {\rm tr}\left[\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}\left(ik_\mu + (1-2\alpha)\epsilon_{\mu\nu}k_\nu\right)\widetilde{\phi}(k) + \widetilde{m}_{+I}\left(-ik_\mu + (1-2\alpha)\epsilon_{\mu\nu}k_\nu\right)\widetilde{\bar{\phi}}(k)\right] \\
& - \sum_{I'=1}^{n_-}\frac{1}{4\pi}\int\frac{{\rm d}^2k}{(2\pi)^2}\, e^{ik\cdot x}\int_0^1 {\rm d}\alpha\, \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)k^2 + \widetilde{m}_{-I'}\widetilde{m}^*_{-I'}} \\
& \times {\rm tr}\left[\widetilde{m}^*_{-I'}\left(-ik_\mu + (1-2\alpha)\epsilon_{\mu\nu}k_\nu\right)\widetilde{\phi}(k) + \widetilde{m}_{-I'}\left(ik_\mu + (1-2\alpha)\epsilon_{\mu\nu}k_\nu\right)\widetilde{\bar{\phi}}(k)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Here, the fields of the SYM sector are expressed as their Fourier modes, and the Feynman parameter α is introduced. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{+I\rho\nu}(k) &\equiv -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^1 {\rm d}\alpha\, \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{\alpha(1-\alpha)k^2 + \widetilde{m}_{+I}\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}}\left(\delta_{\rho\nu}k^2 - k_\rho k_\nu\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi}\delta_{\rho\nu}, \\
\Pi_{-I'\rho\nu}(k) &\equiv -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^1 {\rm d}\alpha\, \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{\alpha(1-\alpha)k^2 + \widetilde{m}_{-I'}\widetilde{m}^*_{-I'}}\left(\delta_{\rho\nu}k^2 - k_\rho k_\nu\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi}\delta_{\rho\nu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

$\Pi_{+I\rho\nu}(k)$ ($\Pi_{-I'\rho\nu}(k)$) is the vacuum polarization tensor for the overall U(1) gauge field, which comes from the loop of the (anti-)fundamental fermions. The gauge invariance requires

$$k_\nu \Pi_{+I\rho\nu}(k) = k_\nu \Pi_{-I'\rho\nu}(k) = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

However, the expression of (4.9) does not satisfy it. To meet (4.10), we remove the last terms in the r.h.s. of (4.9) and redefine by

$$\Pi^{\rm (new)}_{+I\rho\nu}(k) \equiv \Pi_{+I\rho\nu}(k) - \frac{1}{2\pi}\delta_{\rho\nu}, \tag{4.11}$$

$$\Pi^{\rm (new)}_{-I'\rho\nu}(k) \equiv \Pi_{-I'\rho\nu}(k) - \frac{1}{2\pi}\delta_{\rho\nu}. \tag{4.12}$$

(4.11) corresponds to the procedure to add an appropriate local counter term to the effective action, which is obtained after integrating out the fundamental matters in $S^{(E)}_{\text{mat},+\widetilde{m}}$, to recover the gauge invariance. Also, (4.12) corresponds to the modification to the effective action after the integration of the anti-fundamental matters in $S^{(E)}_{\text{mat},-\widetilde{m}}$. In fact, denoting the overall U(1) gauge currents of $G = \text{U}(N)$ derived from $S^{(E)}_{\text{mat},\pm\widetilde{m}}$ as $j^{\text{mat},\pm}_{\mu}(x)$, the $\text{U}(1)_A$ current can be expressed as

$$j^{\text{mat}}_{A\mu} = -\epsilon_{\mu\nu} j^{\text{mat},+}_{\nu} + \epsilon_{\mu\nu} j^{\text{mat},-}_{\nu} \tag{4.13}$$

with the bosonic terms neglected in the r.h.s. Since $\left\langle\!\left\langle j^{\text{mat},\pm}_{\mu}(x)\right\rangle\!\right\rangle$ are obtained by differentiating the matter effective actions with respect to the overall U(1) gauge field $A^{\text{U}(1)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle\!\left\langle j^{\text{mat},\pm}_{\mu}(x)\right\rangle\!\right\rangle &= \frac{\delta W_{\pm}[\text{SYM fields}]}{\delta A^{\text{U}(1)}_{\mu}(x)}, \qquad (4.14) \\ e^{-W_{+}[\text{SYM fields}]} &\equiv \int \left(\prod_{I=1}^{n_+} \mathcal{D}\Phi_{+I}\mathcal{D}\Phi^{\dagger}_{+I}\right) e^{-S^{(E)}_{\text{mat},+\widetilde{m}}}, \\ e^{-W_{-}[\text{SYM fields}]} &\equiv \int \left(\prod_{I'=1}^{n_-} \mathcal{D}\Phi_{-I'}\mathcal{D}\Phi^{\dagger}_{-I'}\right) e^{-S^{(E)}_{\text{mat},-\widetilde{m}}}, \end{aligned}$$

the modification (4.11), (4.12) is equivalent to adding the local counter terms to the effective actions as

$$W^{(\text{new})}_{\pm}[\text{SYM fields}] \equiv W_{\pm}[\text{SYM fields}] + \frac{n_{\pm}}{4\pi}\int \mathrm{d}^2x\, \mathrm{tr}\left(A_{\mu}(x)^2\right). \tag{4.15}$$

For $\left\langle\!\left\langle j^{\text{mat}}_{A\mu}(x)\right\rangle\!\right\rangle$ modified by replacing $\Pi_{+I\rho\nu}(k)$ and $\Pi_{-I'\rho\nu}(k)$ in (4.8) with $\Pi^{(\text{new})}_{+I\rho\nu}(k)$ and $\Pi^{(\text{new})}_{-I'\rho\nu}(k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle\!\left\langle \partial_{\mu} j^{\text{mat}}_{A\mu}(x)\right\rangle\!\right\rangle = {} & -\frac{1}{\pi}(n_+ - n_-)\,\mathrm{tr}\,F_{01}(x) + \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2k}{(2\pi)^2}\, e^{ik\cdot x} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi}\mathrm{tr}\,\widetilde{F}_{01}(k) \right. \\ & \times \left[\sum_{I=1}^{n_+} \frac{\widetilde{m}_{+I}\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)k^2 + \widetilde{m}_{+I}\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}} - \sum_{I'=1}^{n_-} \frac{\widetilde{m}_{-I'}\widetilde{m}^*_{-I'}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)k^2 + \widetilde{m}_{-I'}\widetilde{m}^*_{-I'}} \right] \\ & + \sum_{I=1}^{n_+} \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{k^2}{\alpha(1-\alpha)k^2 + \widetilde{m}_{+I}\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}}\, \mathrm{tr}\left[-\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}\widetilde{\phi}(k) + \widetilde{m}_{+I}\widetilde{\bar{\phi}}(k) \right] \\ & \left. + \sum_{I=1'}^{n_-} \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{k^2}{\alpha(1-\alpha)k^2 + \widetilde{m}_{-I'}\widetilde{m}^*_{-I'}}\, \mathrm{tr}\left[-\widetilde{m}^*_{-I'}\widetilde{\phi}(k) + \widetilde{m}_{-I'}\widetilde{\bar{\phi}}(k) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Next, let us compute $\langle\!\langle M(x)\rangle\!\rangle$. It is easily seen that the zeroth order contribution of $\langle\!\langle M_F(x)\rangle\!\rangle$ vanishes. Since $M_B(x)$ already contains the SYM fields $\phi(x), \bar{\phi}(x)$, the contributions from the first order of $\langle\!\langle M_F(x)\rangle\!\rangle$ and from the zeroth order of $\langle\!\langle M_B(x)\rangle\!\rangle$ are to be compared with (4.16). The contribution turns out to be equal to the second term in (4.16). Thus, we obtain

$$\left\langle\!\left\langle \partial_\mu j^{\mathrm{mat}}_{A\mu}(x) \right\rangle\!\right\rangle = -\frac{1}{\pi}(n_+ - n_-)\,\mathrm{tr}\,F_{01}(x) + \langle\!\langle M(x) \rangle\!\rangle\,, \tag{4.17}$$

which leads to the anomalous WT identity

$$\langle \partial_\mu j_{A\mu}(x) \rangle = -\frac{1}{\pi}(n_+ - n_-)\,\langle \mathrm{tr}\,F_{01}(x) \rangle + \langle M(x) \rangle \tag{4.18}$$

instead of (4.3).

4.2 U(1)$_A$ Anomaly in the Lattice Theory

Since the variables in the lattice actions discussed in section 3 are dimensionless, for the perturbative calculation of the anomaly, it is convenient to rescale them to assign the dimensions same as those in the continuum theory:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(x) \to a\,\phi(x), \qquad \bar{\phi}(x) \to a\,\bar{\phi}(x), \\
&\psi_\mu(x) \to a^{3/2}\psi_\mu(x), \qquad \chi(x) \to a^{3/2}\chi(x), \qquad \eta(x) \to a^{3/2}\eta(x), \\
&\psi_{\pm IL}(x) \to a^{1/2}\psi_{\pm IL}(x), \qquad \bar{\psi}_{\pm IL}(x) \to a^{1/2}\bar{\psi}_{\pm IL}(x), \\
&\psi_{\pm IR}(x) \to a^{1/2}\psi_{\pm IR}(x), \qquad \bar{\psi}_{\pm IR}(x) \to a^{1/2}\bar{\psi}_{\pm IR}(x), \\
&F_{\pm I}(x) \to a\,F_{\pm I}(x), \qquad F_{\pm I}(x)^\dagger \to a\,F_{\pm I}(x)^\dagger,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

also for the twisted masses

$$\widetilde{m}_I \to a\,\widetilde{m}_I, \qquad \widetilde{m}^*_{\pm I} \to a\,\widetilde{m}^*_{\pm I}. \tag{4.20}$$

The U(1)$_A$-Noether current obtained from the lattice action is

$$J_{A\mu}(x) = J^{\mathrm{SYM}}_{A\mu}(x) + J^{\mathrm{mat}}_{A\mu}(x). \tag{4.21}$$

Although the SYM part does not contribute the anomaly and not appear in the following calculation, its explicit form is presented in appendix B for the completeness. We write the contribution from the matter part dividing into the two parts, the r-independent part

$\hat{J}^{\rm mat}_{A\mu}(x)$ and the r-dependent part $\check{J}^{\rm mat}_{A\mu}(x)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\rm mat}_{A\mu}(x) &= \hat{J}^{\rm mat}_{A\mu}(x) + \check{J}^{\rm mat}_{A\mu}(x), \\
\hat{J}^{\rm mat}_{A0}(x) &\equiv \frac{1}{2}\sum_{I=1}^{n}\Big[\bar\psi_{+IL}(x)U_0(x)\psi_{+IL}(x+\hat0) + \bar\psi_{+IL}(x+\hat0)U_0(x)^{-1}\psi_{+IL}(x) \\
&\qquad -\bar\psi_{+IR}(x+\hat0)U_0(x)^{-1}\psi_{+IR}(x) - \bar\psi_{+IR}(x)U_0(x)\psi_{+IR}(x+\hat0) \\
&\qquad -\psi_{-IL}(x+\hat0)U_0(x)^{-1}\bar\psi_{-IL}(x) - \psi_{-IL}(x)U_0(x)\bar\psi_{-IL}(x+\hat0) \\
&\qquad +\psi_{-IR}(x)U_0(x)\bar\psi_{-IR}(x+\hat0) + \psi_{-IR}(x+\hat0)U_0(x)^{-1}\bar\psi_{-IR}(x) \\
&\quad +ia\bar\psi_{+IL}(x+\hat0)U_0(x)^{-1}\psi_0(x)\phi_{+I}(x) + ia\phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger\psi_0(x)U_0(x)\psi_{+IR}(x+\hat0) \\
&\quad +ia\phi_{-I}(x)\psi_0(x)U_0(x)\bar\psi_{-IL}(x+\hat0) + ia\psi_{-IR}(x+\hat0)U_0(x)^{-1}\psi_0(x)\phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger\Big], \\
\hat{J}^{\rm mat}_{A1}(x) &\equiv \frac{1}{2}\sum_{I=1}^{n}\Big[i\bar\psi_{+IL}(x)U_1(x)\psi_{+IL}(x+\hat1) + i\bar\psi_{+IL}(x+\hat1)U_1(x)^{-1}\psi_{+IL}(x) \\
&\qquad +i\bar\psi_{+IR}(x+\hat1)U_1(x)^{-1}\psi_{+IR}(x) + i\bar\psi_{+IR}(x)U_1(x)\psi_{+IR}(x+\hat1) \\
&\qquad -i\psi_{-IL}(x)U_1(x)\bar\psi_{-IL}(x+\hat1) - i\psi_{-IL}(x+\hat1)U_1(x)^{-1}\bar\psi_{-IL}(x) \\
&\qquad -i\psi_{-IR}(x)U_1(x)\bar\psi_{-IR}(x+\hat1) - i\psi_{-IR}(x+\hat1)U_1(x)^{-1}\bar\psi_{-IR}(x) \\
&\quad -a\bar\psi_{+IL}(x+\hat1)U_1(x)^{-1}\psi_1(x)\phi_{+I}(x) + a\phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger\psi_1(x)U_1(x)\psi_{+IR}(x+\hat1) \\
&\quad -a\phi_{-I}(x)\psi_1(x)U_1(x)\bar\psi_{-IL}(x+\hat1) + a\psi_{-IR}(x+\hat1)U_1(x)^{-1}\psi_1(x)\phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger\Big], \\
\check{J}^{\rm mat}_{A\mu}(x) &\equiv \frac{r}{2}\sum_{I=1}^{n}\Big[\bar\psi_{+IL}(x)U_\mu(x)\bar\psi_{-IR}(x+\hat\mu) - \bar\psi_{+IL}(x+\hat\mu)U_\mu(x)^{-1}\bar\psi_{-IR}(x) \\
&\qquad +\psi_{-IL}(x)U_\mu(x)\psi_{+IR}(x+\hat\mu) - \psi_{-IL}(x+\hat\mu)U_\mu(x)^{-1}\psi_{+IR}(x) \\
&\qquad +\bar\psi_{+IR}(x)U_\mu(x)\bar\psi_{-IL}(x+\hat\mu) - \bar\psi_{+IR}(x+\hat\mu)U_\mu(x)^{-1}\bar\psi_{-IL}(x) \\
&\qquad +\psi_{-IR}(x)U_\mu(x)\psi_{+IL}(x+\hat\mu) - \psi_{-IR}(x+\hat\mu)U_\mu(x)^{-1}\psi_{+IL}(x) \\
&\quad -ia\bar\psi_{+IL}(x+\hat\mu)U_\mu(x)^{-1}\psi_\mu(x)\phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger - ia\phi_{-I}(x)\psi_\mu(x)U_\mu(x)\psi_{+IR}(x+\hat\mu) \\
&\quad -ia\phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger\psi_\mu(x)U_\mu(x)\bar\psi_{-IL}(x+\hat\mu) - ia\psi_{-IR}(x+\hat\mu)U_\mu(x)^{-1}\psi_\mu(x)\phi_{+I}(x)\Big].
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.22}
$$

$\check{J}^{\rm mat}_{A\mu}(x)$ represents the contribution from the Wilson terms.

The U(1)$_A$ WT-identity is derived from the lattice theory (4.2) as

$$
\left\langle \sum_{\mu=0}^{1}\nabla^*_\mu \hat{J}_{A\mu}(x)\right\rangle = -\left\langle \sum_{\mu=0}^{1}\nabla^*_\mu \check{J}_{A\mu}(x)\right\rangle + \left\langle \mathcal{M}(x)\right\rangle , \tag{4.23}
$$

where ∇^*_μ is the backward difference operator: $\nabla^*_\mu f(x) \equiv \frac{1}{a}\left(f(x) - f(x-\hat\mu)\right)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}(x) &= \mathcal{M}_B(x) + \mathcal{M}_F(x), \qquad\qquad (4.24)\\
\mathcal{M}_B(x) &\equiv 2\sum_{I=1}^{n}\big(\widetilde{m}_I\,\phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger\bar\phi(x)\phi_{+I}(x) - \widetilde{m}^*_{+I}\,\phi_{+I}(x)^\dagger\phi(x)\phi_{+I}(x)\\
&\qquad\qquad +\widetilde{m}_I\,\phi_{-I}(x)\bar\phi(x)\phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger - \widetilde{m}^*_{-I}\,\phi_{-I}(x)\phi(x)\phi_{-I}(x)^\dagger\big),\\
\mathcal{M}_F(x) &\equiv 2\sum_{I=1}^{n}\big(\widetilde{m}_I\,\bar\psi_{+IL}(x)\psi_{+IR}(x) - \widetilde{m}^*_{+I}\,\bar\psi_{+IR}(x)\psi_{+IL}(x)\\
&\qquad\qquad +\widetilde{m}_I\,\psi_{-IR}(x)\bar\psi_{-IL}(x) - \widetilde{m}^*_{-I}\,\psi_{-IL}(x)\bar\psi_{-IR}(x)\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, in contrast to the continuum case (4.3), the formula (4.23) is exact, because the lattice system gives an unambiguous ultra-violet completion. In particular, the path integral measure is explicitly given as

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{d}\mu) &= (\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{SYM}})\,(\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{mat}}),\\
(\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{SYM}}) &\equiv \prod_x\left[\prod_{\mu=0}^{1}\mathrm{d}U_\mu(x)\right]\prod_{\mathtt{A}}\mathrm{d}\psi_0^{\mathtt{A}}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\psi_1^{\mathtt{A}}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\chi^{\mathtt{A}}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\eta^{\mathtt{A}}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\phi^{\mathtt{A}}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\bar\phi^{\mathtt{A}}(x)\,\mathrm{d}D^{\mathtt{A}}(x),\\
(\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{mat}}) &= \prod_{I=1}^{n}(\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{mat},+I})(\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{mat},-I}),\\
(\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{mat},\pm I}) &\equiv \prod_x\prod_{i=1}^{N}\mathrm{d}\phi_{\pm Ii}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\phi_{\pm Ii}(x)^*\,\mathrm{d}\psi_{\pm ILi}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\psi_{\pm IRi}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\bar\psi_{\pm ILi}(x)\,\mathrm{d}\bar\psi_{\pm IRi}(x)\\
&\qquad\times\mathrm{d}F_{\pm Ii}(x)\,\mathrm{d}F_{\pm Ii}(x)^*, \qquad\qquad (4.25)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{d}U_\mu(x)$ is the Haar measure of the gauge group G, the index $\mathtt{A}$ labels the generators of G, and the variables with the index $\mathtt{A}$ represent the expansion coefficients by the generators of G:

$$(\text{field})(x) = \sum_{\mathtt{A}}(\text{field})^{\mathtt{A}}(x)\,T^{\mathtt{A}}, \qquad \mathrm{tr}\left(T^{\mathtt{A}}T^{\mathtt{B}}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\,\delta^{\mathtt{AB}}. \tag{4.26}$$

Each of $(\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{SYM}})$, $(\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{mat},+I})$ and $(\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{mat},-I})$ is invariant under the $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ rotation.

We will integrate out the matter multiplets perturbatively to compute the r.h.s. of (4.23). First, we separate the matter action $S^{\mathrm{LAT}}_{\mathrm{mat},+\widetilde{m}} + S^{\mathrm{LAT}}_{\mathrm{mat},-\widetilde{m}}$ into the free Gaussian part $S^{\mathrm{LAT}-(2)}_{\mathrm{mat},\widetilde{m}}$ and the interaction part $S^{\mathrm{LAT}-\mathrm{int}}_{\mathrm{mat},\widetilde{m}}$, then expand $U_\mu(x) = e^{iaA_\mu(x)}$ with respect to

$A_\mu(x)$. The propagators are read off from the Gaussian part as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi_{+Ii}(x)\phi_{+Jj}(y)^*\rangle_0 &= \delta_{IJ}\delta_{ij}\int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2q}{(2\pi)^2}\,e^{iaq\cdot(x-y)}\widehat{\Delta}_{+I}(q),\\
\langle \phi_{-Ii}(x)^*\phi_{-Jj}(y)\rangle_0 &= \delta_{IJ}\delta_{ij}\int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2q}{(2\pi)^2}\,e^{iaq\cdot(x-y)}\widehat{\Delta}_{-I}(q),\\
\left\langle \Psi_{I\alpha i}(x)\bar{\Psi}_{J\beta j}(y)\right\rangle_0 &= \delta_{IJ}\delta_{ij}\int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2q}{(2\pi)^2}\,e^{iaq\cdot(x-y)}\left(\widehat{T}_I(q)\right)_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad (\alpha,\beta=1,\cdots,4)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

with the fermions expressed by

$$\Psi_I(x)=\begin{pmatrix}\psi_{+IL}(x)\\ \bar{\psi}_{-IR}(x)\\ \bar{\psi}_{-IL}(x)\\ \psi_{+IR}(x)\end{pmatrix}, \qquad \bar{\Psi}_I(x)=\left(\bar{\psi}_{+IL}(x),\psi_{-IR}(x),\psi_{-IL}(x),\bar{\psi}_{+IR}(x)\right), \tag{4.28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\Delta}_{\pm I}(q) &\equiv \frac{1}{\bar{q}^2+\left(\frac{ra}{2}\hat{q}^2\right)^2+\widetilde{m}_I\widetilde{m}^*_{\pm I}},\\
\widehat{T}_I(q) &\equiv \widehat{\Delta}_{+I}(q)\begin{bmatrix}
-i\bar{q}_0-\bar{q}_1 & -\frac{ra}{2}\hat{q}^2 & 0 & -\widetilde{m}_I\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
\frac{1}{\widetilde{m}_I}\frac{ra}{2}\hat{q}^2(i\bar{q}_0+\bar{q}_1) & \frac{1}{\widetilde{m}_I}\left(\frac{ra}{2}\hat{q}^2\right)^2 & 0 & \frac{ra}{2}\hat{q}^2\\
-\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}-\frac{1}{\widetilde{m}_I}\left(\frac{ra}{2}\hat{q}^2\right)^2 & \frac{1}{\widetilde{m}_I}\frac{ra}{2}\hat{q}^2(-i\bar{q}_0+\bar{q}_1) & 0 & -i\bar{q}_0+\bar{q}_1
\end{bmatrix}\\
&\quad +\widehat{\Delta}_{-I}(q)\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
-\frac{ra}{2}\hat{q}^2 & -i\bar{q}_0+\bar{q}_1 & -\widetilde{m}_I & 0\\
-\frac{1}{\widetilde{m}_I}\frac{ra}{2}\hat{q}^2(i\bar{q}_0+\bar{q}_1) & -\widetilde{m}^*_{-I}-\frac{1}{\widetilde{m}_I}\left(\frac{ra}{2}\hat{q}^2\right)^2 & -i\bar{q}_0-\bar{q}_1 & 0\\
\frac{1}{\widetilde{m}_I}\left(\frac{ra}{2}\hat{q}^2\right)^2 & -\frac{1}{\widetilde{m}_I}\frac{ra}{2}\hat{q}^2(-i\bar{q}_0+\bar{q}_1) & \frac{ra}{2}\hat{q}^2 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Here, we use the notations for the lattice momenta:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{q}_\mu &\equiv \frac{1}{a}\sin\left(aq_\mu\right), \qquad \bar{q}^2=\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}\bar{q}_\mu^2,\\
\hat{q}_\mu &\equiv \frac{2}{a}\sin\left(\frac{aq_\mu}{2}\right), \qquad \hat{q}^2=\sum_{\mu=0}^{1}\hat{q}_\mu^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

In the calculation of $-\left\langle\!\!\left\langle \sum_{\mu=0}^{1}\nabla_\mu^*\check{J}_{A\mu}(x)\right\rangle\!\!\right\rangle$ and $\langle\!\langle \mathcal{M}(x)\rangle\!\rangle$, where $\langle\!\langle\cdot\rangle\!\rangle$ represents the expectation value with respect to the matter sector under the action $S^{\mathrm{LAT}}_{\mathrm{mat},+\widetilde{m}}+S^{\mathrm{LAT}}_{\mathrm{mat},-\widetilde{m}}$, the

SYM fields are treated as the external fields. To see the anomaly from the lattice theory, we focus on the case that the external momenta of the SYM fields are much smaller than the scale $1/a$. The explicit form of the interaction terms

$$S^{\mathrm{LAT-int}}_{\mathrm{mat},\widetilde{m}} = V^{\mathrm{int}}_1 + \cdots + V^{\mathrm{int}}_7 \tag{4.31}$$

is given in appendix B, where we keep only the terms with

$$\text{(the power of external momenta)} + \text{(the number of the SYM fields)} \leq 2, \tag{4.32}$$

which are relevant for the computation. V^{int}_1 and V^{int}_2 are the three- and four-point gauge-squark couplings[8], and V^{int}_3 consists of the three-point gauge-quark couplings. V^{int}_4 contains the three- and four-point interactions of the squarks to the Higgs, F_{01} or D. Also, V^{int}_5 consists of the Yukawa coupling of the Higgs to the quarks. V^{int}_6 and V^{int}_7 are the Yukawa couplings containing χ, η and ψ_μ, respectively.

Next, let us calculate $-\left\langle\!\left\langle \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} \nabla^*_\mu \check{J}_{A\mu}(x) \right\rangle\!\right\rangle$. In the lattice perturbation, it is easy to see that the zeroth order contribution vanishes. In the first order,

$$\left\langle\!\!\left\langle \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} \nabla^*_\mu \check{J}_{A\mu}(x)\, V^{\mathrm{int}}_s \right\rangle\!\!\right\rangle_{C,0} \qquad (s = 1, \cdots, 5) \tag{4.33}$$

(with the suffix "$C, 0$" meaning to take the connected Feynman diagrams) give no contribution within the linear order of the external momenta. The contribution from V^{int}_6 leads to

$$\left\langle\!\!\left\langle \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} \nabla^*_\mu \check{J}_{A\mu}(x)\, V^{\mathrm{int}}_6 \right\rangle\!\!\right\rangle_{C,0} = \sum_{I=1}^{n} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 k'}{(2\pi)^2}\, e^{ia(k+k')\cdot x} \times \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} C_{I\mu}(a)(-k_\mu - k'_\mu)\, \mathrm{tr}\left(\widetilde{\psi}_\mu(k)\widetilde{\eta}(k')\right), \tag{4.34}$$

$$C_{I\mu}(a) \equiv \left(\frac{ra}{2}\right)^2 \int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 q}{(2\pi)^2}\, \hat{q}^2 \cos(aq_\mu) \left(\widehat{\Delta}_{+I}(q)^2 + \widehat{\Delta}_{-I}(q)^2\right), \tag{4.35}$$

which is to be compared with the contributions from the second order perturbation and must be irrelevant to the anomaly. The irrelevance can be seen as follows. Since $C_{I\mu}(a)$ is evaluated to be $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ (up to the possible logarithmic factors) for fixed $r > 0$, the contribution can be neglected in the continuum limit $a \to 0$. Similarly, the contribution from V^{int}_7 is negligible in the continuum limit. Thus, up to the first order perturbation, we conclude that $-\left\langle\!\left\langle \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} \nabla^*_\mu \check{J}_{A\mu}(x) \right\rangle\!\right\rangle$ vanishes in the continuum limit. Namely, the contribution to the $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ Noether current from the Wilson terms does not lead to the anomaly. It is a plausible consequence, since the Wilson terms preserve the $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ symmetry[9].

---

[8] Here, we call the charged scalars $\phi_{\pm I}$ and fermions $\psi_{\pm IL}, \psi_{\pm IR}$ as "squarks" and "quarks".

[9] This situation is different from that of the chiral anomaly in the Wilson fermions. In that case, the Wilson terms in the lattice action break the chiral symmetry, and thus the anomaly arises from the r-dependent part of the Noether current.

Regarding $\langle\!\langle \mathcal{M}(x)\rangle\!\rangle$, we easily see that the zeroth order of $\langle\!\langle \mathcal{M}_F(x)\rangle\!\rangle$ vanishes. In the next order, as discussed in the continuum case, we take into account the contributions from the zeroth order of $\langle\!\langle \mathcal{M}_B(x)\rangle\!\rangle$ and from the first order of $\langle\!\langle \mathcal{M}_F(x)\rangle\!\rangle$. The first order contributions of $\langle\!\langle \mathcal{M}_F(x)\rangle\!\rangle$ with the vertices other than V_3^{int} and V_5^{int} trivially vanish, and it turns out that up to the irrelevant pieces

$$\begin{aligned} -\left\langle\!\left\langle \mathcal{M}_F(x) V_5^{\mathrm{int}}\right\rangle\!\right\rangle_{C,0} &= 2\sum_{I=1}^{n}\Big[-\widetilde{m}_I \,\mathrm{tr}\,\bar{\phi}(x)\left\{L(\widetilde{m}_I\widetilde{m}^*_{+I})+L(\widetilde{m}_I\widetilde{m}^*_{-I})\right\} \\ &\qquad +\mathrm{tr}\,\phi(x)\left\{\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}L(\widetilde{m}_I\widetilde{m}^*_{+I})+\widetilde{m}^*_{-I}L(\widetilde{m}_I\widetilde{m}^*_{-I})\right\}\Big], \quad (4.36) \\ L(m^2) &\equiv \int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2q}{(2\pi)^2}\,\frac{1}{\bar{q}^2+\left(\frac{ra}{2}\hat{q}^2\right)^2+m^2}, \quad (4.37) \end{aligned}$$

which cancels with the zeroth order of $\langle\!\langle \mathcal{M}_B(x)\rangle\!\rangle$. The remaining $-\left\langle\!\left\langle \mathcal{M}_F(x) V_3^{\mathrm{int}}\right\rangle\!\right\rangle_{C,0}$ potentially contributes to the anomaly. It is computed to obtain

$$-\left\langle\!\left\langle \mathcal{M}_F(x) V_3^{\mathrm{int}}\right\rangle\!\right\rangle_{C,0} = \sum_{I=1}^{n}\widehat{C}_I\,\mathrm{tr}\,F_{01}(x)+\sum_{I=1}^{n}\check{C}_I\,\mathrm{tr}\,F_{01}(x), \quad (4.38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{C}_I \equiv 4\int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2q}{(2\pi)^2}\,\cos(aq_0)\cos(aq_1)\left(\widetilde{m}_I\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}\widehat{\Delta}_{+I}(q)^2-\widetilde{m}_I\widetilde{m}^*_{-I}\widehat{\Delta}_{-I}(q)^2\right), \\ &\check{C}_I = \check{C}_{+I}-\check{C}_{-I}, \quad (4.39) \\ &\check{C}_{\pm I} \equiv (ra)^2\int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2q}{(2\pi)^2}\,\hat{q}^2\left(\hat{q}^2\cos(aq_0)\cos(aq_1)-2\bar{q}_0^2\cos(aq_1)-2\bar{q}_1^2\cos(aq_0)\right) \\ &\qquad\qquad \times\widehat{\Delta}_{\pm I}(q)^2. \quad (4.40) \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the r.h.s. of (4.38) gives the counterpart of $\langle\!\langle M(x)\rangle\!\rangle$ in the continuum theory. In fact, according to the Reisz theorem [35], since $\widehat{C}_I$ has the lattice degrees of divergence[10] -2, we can naively take the continuum limit to get

$$\widehat{C}_I \to 4\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^2q}{(2\pi)^2}\left[\frac{\widetilde{m}_I\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}}{(q^2+\widetilde{m}_I\widetilde{m}^*_{+I})^2}-\frac{\widetilde{m}_I\widetilde{m}^*_{-I}}{(q^2+\widetilde{m}_I\widetilde{m}^*_{-I})^2}\right]. \quad (4.43)$$

From this, it can be checked that $\sum_{I=1}^{n}\widehat{C}_I\,\mathrm{tr}\,F_{01}(x)$ coincides to the second term in the r.h.s. of (4.16) up to the linear order of the external momenta for the case $n_+=n_-$. In

---

[10] Let us consider some amplitude $\mathcal{A}$ with L loop momenta $q^{(l)}$ $(l=1,\cdots,L)$. Suppose in scaling

$$a\to\frac{1}{\Lambda}a, \qquad q^{(l)}\to\Lambda q^{(l)}, \quad (4.41)$$

with Λ large, the amplitude $\mathcal{A}$ behaves as

$$\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{O}(\Lambda^D). \quad (4.42)$$

Then, the lattice degrees of divergence of $\mathcal{A}$ is D.

the second term, the prefactor $(ra)^2$ in $\check{C}_{\pm I}$ indicates that its origin is purely quantum mechanical. For the case of all the twisted masses finite, we obtain

$$\check{C}_{+I} = \check{C}_{-I} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \tag{4.44}$$

in the continuum limit [36], and $\sum_{I=1}^{n} \check{C}_I \operatorname{tr} F_{01}(x)$ coincides to the first term in the r.h.s. of (4.16) vanishing for $n_+ = n_-$.

Since the $\mathcal{O}(a)$ terms in the current $\hat{J}^{\text{mat}}_{A\mu}(x)$ turn out to give no relevant contribution, the perturbative computation on the lattice leads to

$$\langle\!\langle \partial_\mu j^{\text{mat}}_{A\mu}(x) \rangle\!\rangle = -\frac{1}{\pi}(n - n) \operatorname{tr} F_{01}(x) + \langle\!\langle M(x) \rangle\!\rangle \tag{4.45}$$

in the continuum limit, which coincides to the continuum result (4.17) for $n_+ = n_-$.

Decoupling. So far, we have considered the case $n_+ = n_- (\equiv n)$, and the obtained result coincides with the continuum case (4.18). If some of the matter multiplets Φ_{+I}'s or Φ_{-I}'s decouple from the theory by sending the corresponding anti-holomorphic twisted masses $\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}$'s or $\widetilde{m}^*_{-I}$'s to the infinity, we can analyze the general situation of $n_+ \neq n_-$, although the lattice theory is defined only in the case $n_+ = n_-$.

Let us see whether the decoupling holds in the computation of the anomaly. For example, we send $\widetilde{m}^*_{-n}$ to the infinity before taking the continuum limit $a \to 0$ (equivalently, take $\widetilde{m}^*_{-n}$ much larger than $1/a$ at the lattice level). Since the loop momenta run over the finite range $[-\pi/a, \pi/a]$, the decoupling can be clearly discussed in contrast to the continuum case. In the calculation of this subsection, the decoupling is achieved due to

$$\widehat{\Delta}_{-n}(q) \to 0 \qquad (\widetilde{m}^*_{-n} \to \infty), \tag{4.46}$$

except the term in (4.37): $\widetilde{m}^*_{-n} L(\widetilde{m}_n \widetilde{m}^*_{-n})$. But, since (4.37) totally cancels with the zeroth order of $\langle\!\langle \mathcal{M}_B(x) \rangle\!\rangle$, it does not appear. It is due to the Q-supersymmetry. In particular, $\check{C}_I$ in (4.38) becomes

$$\check{C}_I = -\frac{1}{\pi}(n - (n-1)), \tag{4.47}$$

to produce the correct value of the anomaly. Since the argument is same also for the other twisted masses $\widetilde{m}^*_{\pm I}$, by sending

$$\widetilde{m}^*_{+I} \to \infty \qquad (I = n_+ + 1, \cdots, n), \qquad \widetilde{m}^*_{-I'} \to \infty \qquad (I' = n_- + 1, \cdots, n), \tag{4.48}$$

the corresponding fields decouple in the calculation and we reproduce the anomalous $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ WT identity for n_+ fundamental and n_- anti-fundamental matters:

$$\langle \partial_\mu j_{A\mu} \rangle = -\frac{1}{\pi}(n_+ - n_-) \langle \operatorname{tr} F_{01}(x) \rangle + \langle M(x) \rangle \tag{4.49}$$

in the continuum limit. Note that the decoupling is not completely trivial, because we send only the anti-holomorphic twisted masses $\widetilde{m}_{+I}$ or $\widetilde{m}_{-I}$ infinitely massive, while the holomorphic twisted masses $\widetilde{m}_{+I} = \widetilde{m}_{-I} (= \widetilde{m}_I)$ are kept finite. In this case, the Q-supersymmetry plays an important role for the decoupling.

5 Summary and Discussion

In this paper, we have discussed the lattice formulation of two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ SQCD with n_+ fundamental and n_- anti-fundamental matters, preserving the supercharge Q exactly.

We introduced the Wilson terms to suppress the species doublers of the matter fields, but then it was necessary to take $n_+ = n_-(\equiv n)$, as long as respecting the gauge symmetry and the Q-supersymmetry. When introducing the twisted mass terms into the theory, the Q-supersymmetry transformation is deformed so that its nilpotency holds up to the combination of an infinitesimal gauge transformation and infinitesimal flavor rotations. The transformation parameters are the Higgs scalar $\phi(x)$ and the holomorphic twisted masses $\widetilde{m}_{\pm I}$ $(I = 1, \cdots, n)$, respectively. Differently from the continuum case, we focused on the case $\widetilde{m}_{+I} = \widetilde{m}_{-I}(\equiv \widetilde{m}_I)$ so that the Q-nilpotency entirely holds on the lattice and the Q-exact lattice action is guaranteed to be Q-invariant. It is due to the Wilson terms that reduce the flavor symmetry $\mathrm{U}(1)^n \times \mathrm{U}(1)^n$ to its diagonal subgroup.

Although the Q-invariant lattice action is applicable to the case $n_+ = n_-$, if some of the fundamental or anti-fundamental multiplets decouple from the theory by sending the corresponding anti-holomorphic twisted masses ($\widetilde{m}^*_{+I}$'s or $\widetilde{m}^*_{-I}$'s) to the infinity, we can analyze the general case $n_+ \neq n_-$ starting from the lattice action. In fact, we have shown it possible in computing the $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ anomaly by the lattice perturbation. The decoupling is not a trivial consequence, because the holomorphic twisted masses $\widetilde{m}_I$ are kept finite. It should be noted that the Q-supersymmetry plays an important role to achieve the decoupling. When considering other observables, even in the situation that the decoupling does not hold completely, we could analyze the case $n_+ \neq n_-$ by adding appropriate counter terms to the lattice action.

It is certainly desirable to construct the Q-invariant lattice action for the general $n_\pm$. Through our construction of the action, the problem is seen closely related to the realization of the chiral (flavor) symmetry of the lattice action, which is explicitly broken by the Wilson terms in our construction. It would be a crucial step to improve our action to use the Ginsparg-Wilson fermions [5] for the matter sector with maintaining the exact Q-supersymmetry. In the two-dimensional Wess-Zumino model, the Ginsparg-Wilson fermions are introduced to the lattice formulation with the exact supersymmetry by using the Nicolai mapping [16]. It would give a hint to construct our desirable lattice action. As discussed in [16], such construction leads to the exactly holomorphic or anti-holomorphic superpotential terms, which further help decreasing the number of the relevant operators to be tuned.

The two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ SQCD models with various superpotentials have been analytically investigated based on the effective twisted superpotentials [29, 30, 33]. The number of the vacua or the Witten index of the models has been computed for various $N, n_\pm$, and the analog of the Seiberg duality in four dimensions has been discussed. Some insights have been obtained with respect to the property of the sigma models on Calabi-Yau manifolds via the correspondence between the gauged linear sigma models and the nonlinear sigma models, where the D-term condition in the former determines the target space of the latter in the infra-red limit. It will be worth confirming those properties and exploring new aspects, which are not yet investigated there, from the first principle computation using the lattice formulation.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Poul H. Damgaard, Issaku Kanamori, Yoshio Kikukawa, So Matsuura, Hiroshi Suzuki, Tomohisa Takimi and Piljin Yi for valuable discussions. Also, he would like to express his gratitude to the Niels Bohr Institute for hospitality during his visit when a part of this work was done.

A Continuum $(1+1)$-Dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ SQCD

First, we start from $\mathcal{N}=1$ SQCD with n_+ fundamental and n_- anti-fundamental matters in $(3+1)$-dimensional Minkowski space x'^m $(m=0,\cdots,3)$, to give the corresponding $(1+1)$-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ SQCD, via the dimensional reduction. The action of the $(3+1)$-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=1$ SQCD is expressed in terms of the $\mathcal{N}=1$ superfields as[11]

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm 4DSQCD} &= \int \mathrm{d}^4x' \left[\frac{1}{8g^2} \mathrm{tr}\left(W^\alpha W_\alpha|_{\theta\theta} + \bar{W}_{\dot\alpha}\bar{W}^{\dot\alpha}|_{\bar\theta\bar\theta}\right)\right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \sum_{I=1}^{n_+} \Phi^\dagger_{+I}\, e^V\, \Phi_{+I}\Big|_{\theta\theta\bar\theta\bar\theta} + \sum_{I'=1}^{n_-} \Phi_{-I'}\, e^{-V}\, \Phi^\dagger_{-I'}\Big|_{\theta\theta\bar\theta\bar\theta}\right], \qquad \text{(A.1)} \end{aligned}$$

where V is a vector superfield, and Φ_{+I} $(\Phi_{-I'})$ are chiral superfields belonging to the fundamental (anti-fundamental) representation of the gauge group G, i.e. column (row) vectors. Under the gauge transformation with the parameter Λ being a chiral superfield, they transform as

$$\begin{aligned} e^V &\to e^{-i\Lambda^\dagger}\, e^V\, e^{i\Lambda}, & e^{-V} &\to e^{-i\Lambda}\, e^{-V}\, e^{i\Lambda^\dagger}, \\ \Phi_{+I} &\to e^{-i\Lambda}\, \Phi_{+I}, & \Phi_{-I'} &\to \Phi_{-I'}\, e^{i\Lambda}, \\ \Phi^\dagger_{+I} &\to \Phi^\dagger_{+I}\, e^{i\Lambda^\dagger}, & \Phi^\dagger_{-I'} &\to e^{-i\Lambda^\dagger}\, \Phi^\dagger_{-I'}. \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(A.2)}$$

After taking the Wess-Zumino gauge, the action is written in terms of the component fields as

---

[11] We use the notation of Wess-Bagger's book [37].

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{4DSQCD}} &= \int \mathrm{d}^4x' \left[\frac{2}{g^2} \operatorname{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{4} F^{mn} F_{mn} - i\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^m \mathcal{D}_m \lambda + \frac{1}{2} D^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{I=1}^{n_+} \left(-\mathcal{D}^m \phi^\dagger_{+I} \mathcal{D}_m \phi_{+I} + \phi^\dagger_{+I} D \phi_{+I} + F^\dagger_+ F_+ \right. \\ &\qquad \left. -i\bar{\psi}_{+I} \bar{\sigma}^m \mathcal{D}_m \psi_{+I} + i\sqrt{2} \left(\phi^\dagger_{+I} \lambda \psi_{+I} - \bar{\psi}_{+I} \bar{\lambda} \phi_{+I} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{I'=1}^{n_-} \left(-\mathcal{D}^m \phi_{-I'} \mathcal{D}_m \phi^\dagger_{-I'} - \phi_{-I'} D \phi^\dagger_{-I'} + F_- F^\dagger_- \right. \\ &\qquad \left. \left. -i\psi_{-I'} \sigma^m \mathcal{D}_m \bar{\psi}_{-I'} + i\sqrt{2} \left(-\psi_{-I'} \lambda \phi^\dagger_{-I'} + \phi_{-I'} \bar{\lambda} \bar{\psi}_{-I'} \right) \right) \right] . \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

Here, v_m, λ, D are components of V, and $\phi_\pm, \psi_\pm, F_\pm$ are of $\Phi_\pm$. We rescaled as $v_m \to 2v_m$, $\lambda \to 2\lambda$, $D \to 2D$ in Wess-Bagger's notation, i.e.

$$V = -2\theta\sigma^m\bar{\theta}\, v_m(x') + 2i\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda}(x') - 2i\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\theta\lambda(x') + \theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}D(x'), \tag{A.4}$$

then the field strength and the covariant derivatives are expressed by ($\partial_m \equiv \partial/\partial x'^m$)

$$\begin{aligned} F_{mn} = \partial_m v_n - \partial_n v_m + i[v_m, v_n], &\qquad \mathcal{D}_m\lambda = \partial_m\lambda + i[v_m, \lambda], \\ \mathcal{D}_m\phi_{+I} = \partial_m\phi_{+I} + iv_m\,\phi_{+I}, &\qquad \mathcal{D}_m\psi_{+I} = \partial_m\psi_{+I} + iv_m\,\psi_{+I}, \\ \mathcal{D}_m\phi^\dagger_{+I} = \partial_m\phi^\dagger_{+I} - i\phi^\dagger_{+I}\,v_m, &\qquad \mathcal{D}_m\bar{\psi}_{+I} = \partial_m\bar{\psi}_{+I} - i\bar{\psi}_{+I}\,v_m \\ \mathcal{D}_m\phi_{-I'} = \partial_m\phi_{-I'} - i\phi_{-I'}\,v_m, &\qquad \mathcal{D}_m\psi_{-I'} = \partial_m\psi_{-I'} - i\psi_{-I'}\,v_m, \\ \mathcal{D}_m\phi^\dagger_{-I'} = \partial_m\phi^\dagger_{-I'} + iv_m\,\phi^\dagger_{-I'}, &\qquad \mathcal{D}_m\bar{\psi}_{-I'} = \partial_m\bar{\psi}_{-I'} + iv_m\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

The supersymmetry transformation, which keeps the Wess-Zumino gauge, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi V &= (\xi Q + \bar{\xi}\bar{Q})V + \delta_\Lambda V, \\ \delta_\xi \Phi_{+I} &= (\xi Q + \bar{\xi}\bar{Q})\Phi_{+I} + \delta_\Lambda \Phi_{+I}, \\ \delta_\xi \Phi_{-I'} &= (\xi Q + \bar{\xi}\bar{Q})\Phi_{-I'} + \delta_\Lambda \Phi_{-I'}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

where

$$Q_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} - i\sigma^m_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_m, \qquad \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} + i\theta^\alpha\sigma^m_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_m, \tag{A.7}$$

and $\xi, \bar{\xi}$ are spinor parameters of the transformation. Since the tranformation $(\xi Q + \bar{\xi}\bar{Q})$ alone does not preserve the Wess-Zumino gauge, the infinitesimal super gauge transformations of the last terms in the r.h.s. of (A.6) are necessary to recover the Wess-Zumino gauge:

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_\Lambda V = 2i(\Lambda - \Lambda^\dagger) + i[V, \Lambda + \Lambda^\dagger], \qquad \delta_\Lambda\Phi_{+I} = -2i\Lambda\Phi_{+I}, \qquad \delta_\Lambda\Phi_{-I'} = 2i\Phi_{-I'}\Lambda, \\ &\Lambda = -i\theta\sigma^m\bar{\xi}\,v_m(x') - \theta\theta\bar{\xi}\bar{\lambda}(x') + \frac{1}{2}\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^n\sigma^m\bar{\xi}\,\partial_n v_m(x'), \\ &\Lambda^\dagger = -i\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^m\xi\,v_m(x') - \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\xi\lambda(x') + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\theta\sigma^n\bar{\sigma}^m\bar{\xi}\,\partial_n v_m(x'). \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Next, we collapse the directions x'^1, x'^2 to points, and denote

$$x^0 \equiv x'^0, \quad x^1 \equiv x'^3, \qquad A_0 \equiv v_0, \quad A_1 \equiv v_3, \qquad X_1 \equiv v_1, \quad X_2 \equiv v_2 \tag{A.9}$$

to obtain the $(1+1)$-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ SQCD:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{2DSQCD}} &= S_{\text{SYM}} + S_{\text{mat},+} + S_{\text{mat},-}\,, \\
S_{\text{SYM}} &= \frac{2}{g^2}\int \mathrm{d}^2x\,\mathrm{tr}\left(-\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}^\mu\phi\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{8}[\phi,\bar{\phi}]^2 + \frac{1}{2}D^2\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. -i\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\lambda - \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{1}}[\bar{\phi},\lambda_2] - \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{2}}[\phi,\lambda_1]\right), \\
S_{\text{mat},+} &= \int \mathrm{d}^2x \sum_{I=1}^{n_+}\Big[-\mathcal{D}^\mu\phi^\dagger_{+I}\mathcal{D}_\mu\phi_{+I} - \frac{1}{2}\phi^\dagger_{+I}\{\phi,\bar{\phi}\}\phi_{+I} + F^\dagger_{+I}F_{+I} + \phi^\dagger_{+I}D\phi_{+I} \\
&\qquad -i\bar{\psi}_{+I}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\psi_{+I} - \bar{\psi}_{+I\dot{1}}\bar{\phi}\,\psi_{+I2} - \bar{\psi}_{+I\dot{2}}\phi\,\psi_{+I1} \\
&\qquad +i\sqrt{2}\left(\phi^\dagger_{+I}\lambda\psi_{+I} - \bar{\psi}_{+I}\bar{\lambda}\phi_{+I}\right)\Big], \\
S_{\text{mat},-} &= \int \mathrm{d}^2x \sum_{I'=1}^{n_-}\Big[-\mathcal{D}^\mu\phi_{-I'}\mathcal{D}_\mu\phi^\dagger_{-I'} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{-I'}\{\phi,\bar{\phi}\}\phi^\dagger_{-I'} + F_{-I'}F^\dagger_{-I'} - \phi_{-I'}D\phi^\dagger_{-I'} \\
&\qquad -i\psi_{-I'}\sigma^\mu\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{\psi}_{-I'} - \psi_{-I'2}\bar{\phi}\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'\dot{1}} - \psi_{-I'1}\phi\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'\dot{2}} \\
&\qquad +i\sqrt{2}\left(-\psi_{-I'}\lambda\phi^\dagger_{-I'} + \phi_{-I'}\bar{\lambda}\bar{\psi}_{-I'}\right)\Big],
\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

where $\partial_\mu \equiv \partial/\partial x^\mu$ $(\mu = 0,1)$, $\mathcal{D}_\mu$ are the covariant derivatives with the gauge fields A_μ used, and $\phi = X_1 + iX_2$, $\bar{\phi} = X_1 - iX_2$ are complex Higgs scalars.

Using the index R (L) instead of the spinor index 1 (2) to show the spinor structure explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{SYM}} &= \frac{1}{g^2}\int \mathrm{d}^2x\,\mathrm{tr}\left(-\frac{1}{2}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{D}^\mu\phi\mathcal{D}_\mu\bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{4}[\phi,\bar{\phi}]^2 + D^2\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. +2i\bar{\lambda}_R\mathcal{D}_L\lambda_R + 2i\bar{\lambda}_L\mathcal{D}_R\lambda_L - \bar{\lambda}_R[\bar{\phi},\lambda_L] - \bar{\lambda}_L[\phi,\lambda_R]\right), \\
S_{\text{mat},+} &= \int \mathrm{d}^2x \sum_{I=1}^{n_+}\Big[-\mathcal{D}^\mu\phi^\dagger_{+I}\mathcal{D}_\mu\phi_{+I} - \frac{1}{2}\phi^\dagger_{+I}\{\phi,\bar{\phi}\}\phi_{+I} + F^\dagger_{+I}F_{+I} + \phi^\dagger_{+I}D\phi_{+I} \\
&\qquad +2i\bar{\psi}_{+IR}\mathcal{D}_L\psi_{+IR} + 2i\bar{\psi}_{+IL}\mathcal{D}_R\psi_{+IL} - \bar{\psi}_{+IR}\,\bar{\phi}\,\psi_{+IL} - \bar{\psi}_{+IL}\,\phi\,\psi_{+IR} \\
&\qquad +i\sqrt{2}\left(\phi^\dagger_{+I}(\lambda_L\psi_{+IR} - \lambda_R\psi_{+IL}) + (-\bar{\psi}_{+IR}\bar{\lambda}_L + \bar{\psi}_{+IL}\bar{\lambda}_R)\phi_{+I}\right)\Big], \\
S_{\text{mat},-} &= \int \mathrm{d}^2x \sum_{I'=1}^{n_-}\Big[-\mathcal{D}^\mu\phi_{-I'}\mathcal{D}_\mu\phi^\dagger_{-I'} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{-I'}\{\phi,\bar{\phi}\}\phi^\dagger_{-I'} + F_{-I'}F^\dagger_{-I'} - \phi_{-I'}D\phi^\dagger_{-I'} \\
&\qquad +2i\psi_{-I'R}\mathcal{D}_L\bar{\psi}_{-I'R} + 2i\psi_{-I'L}\mathcal{D}_R\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} - \psi_{-I'L}\,\bar{\phi}\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'R} - \psi_{-I'R}\,\phi\,\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} \\
&\qquad +i\sqrt{2}\left((-\psi_{-I'L}\lambda_R + \psi_{-I'R}\lambda_L)\phi^\dagger_{-I'} + \phi_{-I'}(\bar{\lambda}_R\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} - \bar{\lambda}_L\bar{\psi}_{-I'R})\right)\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

with $\mathcal{D}_R \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{D}_0 - \mathcal{D}_1)$, $\mathcal{D}_L \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_1)$. Correspondingly, the supersymmetry transformations for the component fields are written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\xi A_0 &= i\xi_L\bar{\lambda}_L + i\xi_R\bar{\lambda}_R + i\bar{\xi}_R\lambda_R + i\bar{\xi}_L\lambda_L, \\
\delta_\xi A_1 &= i\xi_L\bar{\lambda}_L - i\xi_R\bar{\lambda}_R - i\bar{\xi}_R\lambda_R + i\bar{\xi}_L\lambda_L, \\
\delta_\xi \phi &= -2i\xi_L\bar{\lambda}_R - 2i\bar{\xi}_R\lambda_L, \\
\delta_\xi \bar{\phi} &= -2i\xi_R\bar{\lambda}_L - 2i\bar{\xi}_L\lambda_R, \\
\delta_\xi \lambda_R &= \xi_R\left(iD + F_{01} + \frac{i}{2}[\phi, \bar{\phi}]\right) + 2\xi_L\mathcal{D}_R\bar{\phi}, \\
\delta_\xi \lambda_L &= \xi_L\left(iD - F_{01} - \frac{i}{2}[\phi, \bar{\phi}]\right) + 2\xi_R\mathcal{D}_L\phi, \\
\delta_\xi \bar{\lambda}_R &= \bar{\xi}_R\left(-iD + F_{01} - \frac{i}{2}[\phi, \bar{\phi}]\right) + 2\bar{\xi}_L\mathcal{D}_R\phi, \\
\delta_\xi \bar{\lambda}_L &= \bar{\xi}_L\left(-iD - F_{01} + \frac{i}{2}[\phi, \bar{\phi}]\right) + 2\bar{\xi}_R\mathcal{D}_L\bar{\phi}, \\
\delta_\xi D &= -2\bar{\xi}_R\mathcal{D}_L\lambda_R - 2\bar{\xi}_L\mathcal{D}_R\lambda_L + 2\xi_L\mathcal{D}_R\bar{\lambda}_L + 2\xi_R\mathcal{D}_L\bar{\lambda}_R \\
&\quad -i\bar{\xi}_R[\bar{\phi}, \lambda_L] - i\bar{\xi}_L[\phi, \lambda_R] + i\xi_L[\bar{\phi}, \bar{\lambda}_R] + i\xi_R[\phi, \bar{\lambda}_L],
\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\xi \phi_{+I} &= \sqrt{2}\,\xi_L\psi_{+IR} - \sqrt{2}\,\xi_R\psi_{+IL}, \\
\delta_\xi \psi_{+IR} &= -i2\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L\mathcal{D}_R\phi_{+I} + \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R\bar{\phi}\,\phi_{+I} + \sqrt{2}\,\xi_R F_{+I}, \\
\delta_\xi \psi_{+IL} &= i2\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R\mathcal{D}_L\phi_{+I} - \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L\phi\,\phi_{+I} + \sqrt{2}\,\xi_L F_{+I}, \\
\delta_\xi F_{+I} &= -i2\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R\mathcal{D}_L\psi_{+IR} - i2\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L\mathcal{D}_R\psi_{+IL} + \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R\bar{\phi}\,\psi_{+IL} + \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L\phi\,\psi_{+IR} \\
&\quad +2i\bar{\xi}_R\bar{\lambda}_L\phi_{+I} - 2i\bar{\xi}_L\bar{\lambda}_R\phi_{+I}, \\
\delta_\xi \phi^\dagger_{+I} &= -\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L\bar{\psi}_{+IR} + \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R\bar{\psi}_{+IL}, \\
\delta_\xi \bar{\psi}_{+IR} &= i2\sqrt{2}\,\xi_L\mathcal{D}_R\phi^\dagger_{+I} + \sqrt{2}\,\xi_R\phi^\dagger_{+I}\phi + \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R F^\dagger_{+I}, \\
\delta_\xi \bar{\psi}_{+IL} &= -i2\sqrt{2}\,\xi_R\mathcal{D}_L\phi^\dagger_{+I} - \sqrt{2}\,\xi_L\phi^\dagger_{+I}\bar{\phi} + \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L F^\dagger_{+I}, \\
\delta_\xi F^\dagger_{+I} &= -i2\sqrt{2}\,\xi_L\mathcal{D}_R\bar{\psi}_{+IL} - i2\sqrt{2}\,\xi_R\mathcal{D}_L\bar{\psi}_{+IR} - \sqrt{2}\,\xi_L\bar{\psi}_{+IR}\bar{\phi} - \sqrt{2}\,\xi_R\bar{\psi}_{+IL}\phi \\
&\quad -2i\xi_L\phi^\dagger_{+I}\lambda_R + 2i\xi_R\phi^\dagger_{+I}\lambda_L,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\delta_\xi \phi_{-I'} &=& \sqrt{2}\,\xi_L \psi_{-I'R} - \sqrt{2}\,\xi_R \psi_{-I'L}, \\
\delta_\xi \psi_{-I'R} &=& -i2\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L \mathcal{D}_R \phi_{-I'} - \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R \phi_{-I'} \bar{\phi} + \sqrt{2}\,\xi_R F_{-I'}, \\
\delta_\xi \psi_{-I'L} &=& i2\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R \mathcal{D}_L \phi_{-I'} + \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L \phi_{-I'} \phi + \sqrt{2}\,\xi_L F_{-I'}, \\
\delta_\xi F_{-I'} &=& -i2\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R \mathcal{D}_L \psi_{-I'R} - i2\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L \mathcal{D}_R \psi_{-I'L} - \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R \psi_{-I'L} \bar{\phi} - \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L \psi_{-I'R} \phi \\
&& -2i\bar{\xi}_R \phi_{-I'} \bar{\lambda}_L + 2i\bar{\xi}_L \phi_{-I'} \bar{\lambda}_R, \\
\delta_\xi \phi^\dagger_{-I'} &=& -\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L \bar{\psi}_{-I'R} + \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R \bar{\psi}_{-I'L}, \\
\delta_\xi \bar{\psi}_{-I'R} &=& i2\sqrt{2}\,\xi_L \mathcal{D}_R \phi^\dagger_{-I'} - \sqrt{2}\,\xi_R \phi\, \phi^\dagger_{-I'} + \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R F^\dagger_{-I'}, \\
\delta_\xi \bar{\psi}_{-I'L} &=& -i2\sqrt{2}\,\xi_R \mathcal{D}_L \phi^\dagger_{-I'} + \sqrt{2}\,\xi_L \bar{\phi}\, \phi^\dagger_{-I'} + \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L F^\dagger_{-I'}, \\
\delta_\xi F^\dagger_{-I'} &=& -i2\sqrt{2}\,\xi_L \mathcal{D}_R \bar{\psi}_{-I'L} - i2\sqrt{2}\,\xi_R \mathcal{D}_L \bar{\psi}_{-I'R} + \sqrt{2}\,\xi_R \phi\, \bar{\psi}_{-I'L} + \sqrt{2}\,\xi_L \bar{\phi}\, \bar{\psi}_{-I'R} \\
&& +2i\xi_L \lambda_R \phi^\dagger_{-I'} - 2i\xi_R \lambda_L \phi^\dagger_{-I'}.
\end{array} \tag{A.14}$$

A.1 Twisted Masses

We can introduce the twisted mass terms to the matter multiplets by gauging the $\mathrm{U}(1)^{n_+} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{n_-}$ of the flavor symmetry and fixing the corresponding vector superfields to the background values as

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\displaystyle\sum_{I=1}^{n_+} \Phi^\dagger_{+I}\, e^V\, \Phi_{+I} &\to& \displaystyle\sum_{I=1}^{n_+} \Phi^\dagger_{+I}\, e^{V - \widetilde{V}_{+I}}\, \Phi_{+I}, \\
\displaystyle\sum_{I'=1}^{n_-} \Phi_{-I'}\, e^{-V}\, \Phi^\dagger_{-I'} &\to& \displaystyle\sum_{I'=1}^{n_-} \Phi_{-I'}\, e^{-V + \widetilde{V}_{-I'}}\, \Phi^\dagger_{-I'}
\end{array} \tag{A.15}$$

with

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\widetilde{V}_{+I} &\equiv& 2\theta_R \bar{\theta}_L\, \widetilde{m}_{+I} + 2\theta_L \bar{\theta}_R\, \widetilde{m}^*_{+I}\,, \\
\widetilde{V}_{-I'} &\equiv& 2\theta_R \bar{\theta}_L\, \widetilde{m}_{-I'} + 2\theta_L \bar{\theta}_R\, \widetilde{m}^*_{-I'}\,.
\end{array} \tag{A.16}$$

In the presence of the twisted masses, the matter-part actions $S_{\text{mat},+}, S_{\text{mat},-}$ are deformed as

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{mat},+\widetilde{m}} \;&=\; \int \mathrm{d}^2x \sum_{I=1}^{n_+} \Big[-\mathcal{D}^\mu \phi^\dagger_{+I} \mathcal{D}_\mu \phi_{+I} - \frac{1}{2} \phi^\dagger_{+I} \{\phi - \widetilde{m}_{+I}, \bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{+I}\} \phi_{+I} \\
&\quad + F^\dagger_{+I} F_{+I} + \phi^\dagger_{+I} D \phi_{+I} + 2i\bar{\psi}_{+IR} \mathcal{D}_L \psi_{+IR} + 2i\bar{\psi}_{+IL} \mathcal{D}_R \psi_{+IL} \\
&\quad - \bar{\psi}_{+IR} (\bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{+I}) \psi_{+IL} - \bar{\psi}_{+IL} (\phi - \widetilde{m}_{+I}) \psi_{+IR} \\
&\quad + i\sqrt{2} \Big(\phi^\dagger_{+I} (\lambda_L \psi_{+IR} - \lambda_R \psi_{+IL}) + (-\bar{\psi}_{+IR} \bar{\lambda}_L + \bar{\psi}_{+IL} \bar{\lambda}_R) \phi_{+I} \Big) \Big] \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{mat},-\widetilde{m}} \;&=\; \int \mathrm{d}^2x \sum_{I'=1}^{n_-} \Big[-\mathcal{D}^\mu \phi_{-I'} \mathcal{D}_\mu \phi^\dagger_{-I'} - \frac{1}{2} \phi_{-I'} \{\phi - \widetilde{m}_{-I'}, \bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{-I'}\} \phi^\dagger_{-I'} \\
&\quad + F_{-I'} F^\dagger_{-I'} - \phi_{-I'} D \phi^\dagger_{-I'} + 2i\psi_{-I'R} \mathcal{D}_L \bar{\psi}_{-I'R} + 2i\psi_{-I'L} \mathcal{D}_R \bar{\psi}_{-I'L} \\
&\quad - \psi_{-I'L} (\bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{-I'}) \bar{\psi}_{-I'R} - \psi_{-I'R} (\phi - \widetilde{m}_{-I'}) \bar{\psi}_{-I'L} \\
&\quad + i\sqrt{2} \Big((-\psi_{-I'L} \lambda_R + \psi_{-I'R} \lambda_L) \phi^\dagger_{-I'} + \phi_{-I'} (\bar{\lambda}_R \bar{\psi}_{-I'L} - \bar{\lambda}_L \bar{\psi}_{-I'R}) \Big) \Big] \,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.18}$$

Also, the supersymmetry transformations (A.13), (A.14) become

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\xi \phi_{+I} &= \sqrt{2}\, \xi_L \psi_{+IR} - \sqrt{2}\, \xi_R \psi_{+IL}, \\
\delta_\xi \psi_{+IR} &= -i2\sqrt{2}\, \bar{\xi}_L \mathcal{D}_R \phi_{+I} + \sqrt{2}\, \bar{\xi}_R (\bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{+I}) \phi_{+I} + \sqrt{2}\, \xi_R F_{+I}, \\
\delta_\xi \psi_{+IL} &= i2\sqrt{2}\, \bar{\xi}_R \mathcal{D}_L \phi_{+I} - \sqrt{2}\, \bar{\xi}_L (\phi - \widetilde{m}_{+I}) \phi_{+I} + \sqrt{2}\, \xi_L F_{+I}, \\
\delta_\xi F_{+I} &= -i2\sqrt{2}\, \bar{\xi}_R \mathcal{D}_L \psi_{+IR} - i2\sqrt{2}\, \bar{\xi}_L \mathcal{D}_R \psi_{+IL} \\
&\quad + \sqrt{2}\, \bar{\xi}_R (\bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{+I}) \psi_{+IL} + \sqrt{2}\, \bar{\xi}_L (\phi - \widetilde{m}_{+I}) \psi_{+IR} \\
&\quad + 2i\bar{\xi}_R \bar{\lambda}_L \phi_{+I} - 2i\bar{\xi}_L \bar{\lambda}_R \phi_{+I}, \\
\delta_\xi \phi^\dagger_{+I} &= -\sqrt{2}\, \bar{\xi}_L \bar{\psi}_{+IR} + \sqrt{2}\, \bar{\xi}_R \bar{\psi}_{+IL}, \\
\delta_\xi \bar{\psi}_{+IR} &= i2\sqrt{2}\, \xi_L \mathcal{D}_R \phi^\dagger_{+I} + \sqrt{2}\, \xi_R \phi^\dagger_{+I} (\phi - \widetilde{m}_{+I}) + \sqrt{2}\, \bar{\xi}_R F^\dagger_{+I}, \\
\delta_\xi \bar{\psi}_{+IL} &= -i2\sqrt{2}\, \xi_R \mathcal{D}_L \phi^\dagger_{+I} - \sqrt{2}\, \xi_L \phi^\dagger_{+I} (\bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{+I}) + \sqrt{2}\, \bar{\xi}_L F^\dagger_{+I}, \\
\delta_\xi F^\dagger_{+I} &= -i2\sqrt{2}\, \xi_L \mathcal{D}_R \bar{\psi}_{+IL} - i2\sqrt{2}\, \xi_R \mathcal{D}_L \bar{\psi}_{+IR} \\
&\quad - \sqrt{2}\, \xi_L \bar{\psi}_{+IR} (\bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{+I}) - \sqrt{2}\, \xi_R \bar{\psi}_{+IL} (\phi - \widetilde{m}_{+I}) \\
&\quad - 2i\xi_L \phi^\dagger_{+I} \lambda_R + 2i\xi_R \phi^\dagger_{+I} \lambda_L,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\xi \phi_{-I'} &= \sqrt{2}\,\xi_L\psi_{-I'R} - \sqrt{2}\,\xi_R\psi_{-I'L}, \\
\delta_\xi \psi_{-I'R} &= -i2\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L\mathcal{D}_R\phi_{-I'} - \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R\phi_{-I'}(\bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{-I'}) + \sqrt{2}\,\xi_R F_{-I'}, \\
\delta_\xi \psi_{-I'L} &= i2\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R\mathcal{D}_L\phi_{-I'} + \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L\phi_{-I'}(\phi - \widetilde{m}_{-I'}) + \sqrt{2}\,\xi_L F_{-I'}, \\
\delta_\xi F_{-I'} &= -i2\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R\mathcal{D}_L\psi_{-I'R} - i2\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L\mathcal{D}_R\psi_{-I'L} \\
&\quad -\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R\psi_{-I'L}(\bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{-I'}) - \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L\psi_{-I'R}(\phi - \widetilde{m}_{-I'}) \\
&\quad -2i\bar{\xi}_R\phi_{-I'}\bar{\lambda}_L + 2i\bar{\xi}_L\phi_{-I'}\bar{\lambda}_R, \\
\delta_\xi \phi^\dagger_{-I'} &= -\sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L\bar{\psi}_{-I'R} + \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R\bar{\psi}_{-I'L}, \\
\delta_\xi \bar{\psi}_{-I'R} &= i2\sqrt{2}\,\xi_L\mathcal{D}_R\phi^\dagger_{-I'} - \sqrt{2}\,\xi_R(\phi - \widetilde{m}_{-I'})\phi^\dagger_{-I'} + \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_R F^\dagger_{-I'}, \\
\delta_\xi \bar{\psi}_{-I'L} &= -i2\sqrt{2}\,\xi_R\mathcal{D}_L\phi^\dagger_{-I'} + \sqrt{2}\,\xi_L(\bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{-I'})\phi^\dagger_{-I'} + \sqrt{2}\,\bar{\xi}_L F^\dagger_{-I'}, \\
\delta_\xi F^\dagger_{-I'} &= -i2\sqrt{2}\,\xi_L\mathcal{D}_R\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} - i2\sqrt{2}\,\xi_R\mathcal{D}_L\bar{\psi}_{-I'R} \\
&\quad +\sqrt{2}\,\xi_R(\phi - \widetilde{m}_{-I'})\bar{\psi}_{-I'L} + \sqrt{2}\,\xi_L(\bar{\phi} - \widetilde{m}^*_{-I'})\bar{\psi}_{-I'R} \\
&\quad +2i\xi_L\lambda_R\phi^\dagger_{-I'} - 2i\xi_R\lambda_L\phi^\dagger_{-I'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.20}$$

B On Lattice Perturbation for $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ Anomaly

In this appendix, we present the explicit form of the $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$-Noether current in the SYM sector of the lattice theory for the completeness. Also, the form of the interaction terms in the matter sector used to the perturbative computation of the $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ anomaly is expressed up to the relevant orders.

B.1 $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ Noether Current in Lattice SYM

$\mathrm{U}(1)_A$-Noether current derived from the SYM part (3.9) is explicitly given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{A0}^{\mathrm{SYM}}(x) \;=\; & \frac{a^2}{g_0^2}\Biggl\{\frac{i}{1-\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}||1-U_{01}(x)||^2} \\
& \quad \times \mathrm{tr}\left[\chi(x)\left(U_0(x)\psi_1(x+\hat{0})U_1(x+\hat{0})U_0(x+\hat{1})^{-1}U_1(x)^{-1}\right.\right. \\
& \qquad \left.\left. +U_1(x)U_0(x+\hat{1})U_1(x+\hat{0})^{-1}\psi_1(x+\hat{0})U_0(x)^{-1}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}||1-U_{01}(x)||^2\right)^2}\,\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\chi(x)\Phi(x)\right) \\
& \quad \times \mathrm{tr}\left[\psi_1(x+\hat{0})\left(U_1(x+\hat{0})U_0(x+\hat{1})^{-1}U_1(x)^{-1}U_0(x)\right.\right. \\
& \qquad \left.\left. -U_0(x)^{-1}U_1(x)U_0(x+\hat{1})U_1(x+\hat{0})^{-1}\right)\right] \\
& +\mathrm{tr}\left[2\phi(x)D_0\bar{\phi}(x)-2\bar{\phi}(x)D_0\phi(x)-i\psi_0(x)U_0(x)\eta(x+\hat{0})U_0(x)^{-1}\right. \\
& \quad \left. +2a\psi_0(x)\psi_0(x)U_0(x)\bar{\phi}(x+\hat{0})U_0(x)^{-1}\right]\Biggr\}, \qquad \text{(B.1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{A1}^{\mathrm{SYM}}(x) \;=\; & \frac{a^2}{g_0^2}\Biggl\{\frac{-i}{1-\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}||1-U_{01}(x)||^2} \\
& \quad \times \mathrm{tr}\left[\chi(x)\left(U_0(x)U_1(x+\hat{0})U_0(x+\hat{1})^{-1}\psi_0(x+\hat{1})U_1(x)^{-1}\right.\right. \\
& \qquad \left.\left. +U_1(x)\psi_0(x+\hat{1})U_0(x+\hat{1})U_1(x+\hat{0})^{-1}U_0(x)^{-1}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}||1-U_{01}(x)||^2\right)^2}\,\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\chi(x)\Phi(x)\right) \\
& \quad \times \mathrm{tr}\left[\psi_0(x+\hat{1})\left(U_0(x+\hat{1})U_1(x+\hat{0})^{-1}U_0(x)^{-1}U_1(x)\right.\right. \\
& \qquad \left.\left. -U_1(x)^{-1}U_0(x)U_1(x+\hat{0})U_0(x+\hat{1})^{-1}\right)\right] \\
& +\mathrm{tr}\left[2\phi(x)D_1\bar{\phi}(x)-2\bar{\phi}(x)D_1\phi(x)-i\psi_1(x)U_1(x)\eta(x+\hat{1})U_1(x)^{-1}\right. \\
& \quad \left. +2a\psi_1(x)\psi_1(x)U_1(x)\bar{\phi}(x+\hat{1})U_1(x)^{-1}\right]\Biggr\} \qquad \text{(B.2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_\mu\phi(x) &\equiv \frac{1}{a}\left(U_\mu(x)\phi(x+\hat{\mu})U_\mu(x)^{-1}-\phi(x)\right), \\
D_\mu\bar{\phi}(x) &\equiv \frac{1}{a}\left(U_\mu(x)\bar{\phi}(x+\hat{\mu})U_\mu(x)^{-1}-\bar{\phi}(x)\right). \qquad \text{(B.3)}
\end{aligned}
$$

B.2 Interaction Terms in Matter Sector

We explicitly write the interaction terms in the matter sector by dividing into the seven parts:

$$S_{\mathrm{mat},\widetilde{m}}^{\mathrm{LAT-int}} = V_1^{\mathrm{int}}+\cdots+V_7^{\mathrm{int}}. \qquad \text{(B.4)}$$

In the calculation of the $\mathrm{U}(1)_A$ anomaly from the matter multiplets, the SYM fields are treated as the external fields. Also, in the lattice perturbation, the link variables

$U_\mu(x) = e^{iaA_\mu(x)}$ are expanded with respect to $A_\mu(x)$, and the external momenta carried by the SYM fields are treated as quantities much smaller than $1/a$. For the computation, it is sufficient to keep the terms with

$$\text{(the power of external momenta)} + \text{(the number of the SYM fields)} \leq 2. \tag{B.5}$$

The interaction terms satisfying (B.5) are as follows.
V_1^{int} consists of the three-point gauge-squark couplings (See footnote 8 in page 22.):

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1^{\text{int}} \;=\; & \sum_{I=1}^{n} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 q}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\sum_{\mu=0}^{1} \Big\{ 2\bar{q}_\mu \cos(aq_\mu) + k_\mu \cos^2(aq_\mu) - iak_\mu \bar{q}_\mu e^{-iaq_\mu} \right. \\
& \qquad -i \sum_{\nu=0}^{1} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} k_\nu \cos(aq_0)\cos(aq_1) + (ra)^2 \bar{q}_\mu \hat{q}^2 + \frac{(ra)^2}{2} k_\mu e^{-iaq_\mu} \hat{q}^2 + (ra)^2 \bar{q}_\mu \, k\cdot\bar{q} \Big\} \\
& \quad \times \widetilde{\phi}^\dagger{}_{+I}(-k-q) \widetilde{A}_\mu(k) \widetilde{\phi}_{+I}(q) \\
& + \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} \Big\{ 2\bar{q}_\mu \cos(aq_\mu) + k_\mu \cos^2(aq_\mu) - iak_\mu \bar{q}_\mu e^{-iaq_\mu} \\
& \qquad +i \sum_{\nu=0}^{1} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} k_\nu \cos(aq_0)\cos(aq_1) + (ra)^2 \bar{q}_\mu \hat{q}^2 + \frac{(ra)^2}{2} k_\mu e^{-iaq_\mu} \hat{q}^2 + (ra)^2 \bar{q}_\mu \, k\cdot\bar{q} \Big\} \\
& \quad \times \widetilde{\phi}_{-I}(-k-q) \widetilde{A}_\mu(k) \widetilde{\phi}^\dagger{}_{-I}(q) \\
& + ra(-\bar{q}_0 \cos(aq_1) + i\bar{q}_1 \cos(aq_0)) \widetilde{\phi}^\dagger{}_{+I}(-k-q) \Big(k_0 \widetilde{A}_1(k) - k_1 \widetilde{A}_0(k) \Big) \widetilde{\phi}^\dagger{}_{-I}(q) \\
& \left. + ra(\bar{q}_0 \cos(aq_1) + i\bar{q}_1 \cos(aq_0)) \widetilde{\phi}_{-I}(-k-q) \Big(k_0 \widetilde{A}_1(k) - k_1 \widetilde{A}_0(k) \Big) \widetilde{\phi}_{+I}(q) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

with $k \cdot \bar{q} \equiv \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} k_\mu \bar{q}_\mu$.
$V_2^{\rm int}$ consists of the four-point gauge-squark interactions:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_2^{\rm int} \;=\; & \sum_{I=1}^{n} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 q}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \ell}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{+I}(q) \left\{ \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} \cos^2(aq_\mu) \widetilde{A}_\mu(k) \widetilde{A}_\mu(\ell) \right.\right. \\
& \qquad \left. + i \cos(aq_0) \cos(aq_1) [\widetilde{A}_0(k), \widetilde{A}_1(\ell)] + (ra)^2 \sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{1} \bar{q}_\mu \bar{q}_\nu \widetilde{A}_\mu(k) \widetilde{A}_\nu(\ell) \right\} \widetilde{\phi}_{+I}(-k-\ell-q) \\
& + \widetilde{\phi}_{-I}(q) \left\{ \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} \cos^2(aq_\mu) \widetilde{A}_\mu(k) \widetilde{A}_\mu(\ell) \right. \\
& \qquad \left. - i \cos(aq_0) \cos(aq_1) [\widetilde{A}_0(k), \widetilde{A}_1(\ell)] + (ra)^2 \sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{1} \bar{q}_\mu \bar{q}_\nu \widetilde{A}_\mu(k) \widetilde{A}_\nu(\ell) \right\} \widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{-I}(-k-\ell-q) \\
& + \widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{+I}(q) \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} ra\bar{q}_\mu \left\{ -i \cos(aq_0) [\widetilde{A}_0(k), \widetilde{A}_\mu(\ell)] - \cos(aq_1) [\widetilde{A}_1(k), \widetilde{A}_\mu(\ell)] \right\} \\
& \qquad \times \widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{-I}(-k-\ell-q) \\
& + \widetilde{\phi}_{-I}(q) \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} ra\bar{q}_\mu \left\{ -i \cos(aq_0) [\widetilde{A}_0(k), \widetilde{A}_\mu(\ell)] + \cos(aq_1) [\widetilde{A}_1(k), \widetilde{A}_\mu(\ell)] \right\} \\
& \qquad \left. \times \widetilde{\phi}_{+I}(-k-\ell-q) \right] . \qquad \text{(B.7)}
\end{aligned}$$

$V_3^{\rm int}$ consists of the three-point gauge-quark couplings:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_3^{\rm int} \;=\; & \sum_{I=1}^{n} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 q}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\left\{ i \cos(aq_0) + \frac{1}{2} a k_0 e^{-iaq_0} \right\} \bar{\widetilde{\Psi}}_I(q) \widetilde{A}_0(k) \widetilde{\Psi}_I(-k-q) \right. \\
& \qquad + \left\{ -\cos(aq_1) + i\frac{1}{2} a k_1 e^{-iaq_1} \right\} \bar{\widetilde{\Psi}}_I(q) \Sigma_3 \widetilde{A}_1(k) \widetilde{\Psi}_I(-k-q) \\
& \qquad \left. + \sum_{\mu=0}^{1} ra \left(\bar{q}_\mu + \frac{1}{2} k_\mu e^{-iaq_\mu} \right) \bar{\widetilde{\Psi}}_I(q) \widetilde{\Sigma}_1 \widetilde{A}_\mu(k) \widetilde{\Psi}_I(-k-q) \right] \text{(B.8)}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Sigma_3 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \widetilde{\Sigma}_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}. \qquad \text{(B.9)}$$

V_4^{int} contains the three- and four-point interactions of the squarks to the Higgs, F_{01} or D:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_4^{\text{int}} \;=\; & \sum_{I=1}^{n} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 q}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 k}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \ell}{(2\pi)^2} \Big[\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{+I}(q)\{\widetilde{\phi}(k),\widetilde{\bar{\phi}}(\ell)\}\widetilde{\phi}_{+I}(-k-\ell-q) \\
& \qquad + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\phi}_{-I}(q)\{\widetilde{\phi}(k),\widetilde{\bar{\phi}}(\ell)\}\widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{-I}(-k-\ell-q)\Big] \\
& + \sum_{I=1}^{n} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 q}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \Big[\widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{+I}(q)\Big(-\widetilde{F}_{01}(k)-\widetilde{D}(k)-\widetilde{m}_I\widetilde{\bar{\phi}}(k)-\widetilde{m}^{*}_{+I}\widetilde{\phi}(k)\Big)\widetilde{\phi}_{+I}(-k-q) \\
& \qquad + \widetilde{\phi}_{-I}(q)\Big(\widetilde{F}_{01}(k)+\widetilde{D}(k)-\widetilde{m}_I\widetilde{\bar{\phi}}(k)-\widetilde{m}^{*}_{-I}\widetilde{\phi}(k)\Big)\widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{-I}(-k-q)\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

V_5^{int} consists of the Yukawa couplings of the Higgs to the quarks:

$$V_5^{\text{int}} = \sum_{I=1}^{n} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 q}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 k}{(2\pi)^2}\,\widetilde{\bar{\Psi}}_I(q)\begin{bmatrix} & & & \widetilde{\phi}(k) \\ & & \widetilde{\phi}(k) & \\ & \widetilde{\bar{\phi}}(k) & & \\ \widetilde{\bar{\phi}}(k) & & & \end{bmatrix}\widetilde{\Psi}_I(-k-q). \tag{B.11}$$

V_6^{int} consists of the Yukawa interactions containing χ, η:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_6^{\text{int}} \;=\; & \sum_{I=1}^{n} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 q}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \Big[\widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{+I}(q)\left(i\widetilde{\chi}(k)-\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\eta}(k)\right)\widetilde{\psi}_{+IL}(-k-q) \\
& \qquad + \widetilde{\bar{\psi}}_{+IR}(q)\left(-i\widetilde{\chi}(k)-\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\eta}(k)\right)\widetilde{\phi}_{+I}(-k-q) \\
& \qquad + \widetilde{\phi}_{-I}(q)\left(-i\widetilde{\chi}(k)-\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\eta}(k)\right)\widetilde{\bar{\psi}}_{-IR}(-k-q) \\
& \qquad + \widetilde{\psi}_{-IL}(q)\left(i\widetilde{\chi}(k)-\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\eta}(k)\right)\widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{-I}(-k-q)\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{B.12}$$

$V_7^{\rm int}$ consists of the Yukawa interactions containing ψ_μ:

$$\begin{aligned} V_7^{\rm int} \;=\; \sum_{I=1}^{n}\int \frac{{\rm d}^2q}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{{\rm d}^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \Bigg[& \left\{-i\cos(aq_0)-\frac{1}{2}ak_0e^{-iaq_0}\right\}\Big(\widetilde{\bar{\psi}}_{+IL}(q)\widetilde{\psi}_0(k)\widetilde{\phi}_{+I}(-k-q) \\ & \quad +\widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{+I}(q)\widetilde{\psi}_0(k)\widetilde{\psi}_{+IR}(-k-q)+\widetilde{\phi}_{-I}(q)\widetilde{\psi}_0(k)\widetilde{\bar{\psi}}_{-IL}(-k-q) \\ & \quad +\widetilde{\psi}_{-IR}(q)\widetilde{\psi}_0(k)\widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{-I}(-k-q)\Big) \\ & +\left\{\cos(aq_1)-i\frac{1}{2}ak_1e^{-iaq_1}\right\}\Big(\widetilde{\bar{\psi}}_{+IL}(q)\widetilde{\psi}_1(k)\widetilde{\phi}_{+I}(-k-q) \\ & \quad -\widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{+I}(q)\widetilde{\psi}_1(k)\widetilde{\psi}_{+IR}(-k-q)+\widetilde{\phi}_{-I}(q)\widetilde{\psi}_1(k)\widetilde{\bar{\psi}}_{-IL}(-k-q) \\ & \quad -\widetilde{\psi}_{-IR}(q)\widetilde{\psi}_1(k)\widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{-I}(-k-q)\Big) \\ & +\sum_{\mu=0}^{1} ra\left(-\bar{q}_\mu-\frac{1}{2}k_\mu e^{-iaq_\mu}\right)\Big(\widetilde{\bar{\psi}}_{+IL}(q)\widetilde{\psi}_\mu(k)\widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{-I}(-k-q) \\ & \quad -\widetilde{\phi}_{-I}(q)\widetilde{\psi}_\mu(k)\widetilde{\psi}_{+IR}(-k-q)-\widetilde{\phi}^{\dagger}{}_{+I}(q)\widetilde{\psi}_\mu(k)\widetilde{\bar{\psi}}_{-IL}(-k-q) \\ & \quad +\widetilde{\psi}_{-IR}(q)\widetilde{\psi}_\mu(k)\widetilde{\phi}_{+I}(-k-q)\Big)\ \Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{B.13}$$

References

[1] F. Sugino, JHEP **0401** (2004) 015, [`arXiv:hep-lat/0311021`].

[2] F. Sugino, JHEP **0403** (2004) 067, [`arXiv:hep-lat/0401017`].

[3] F. Sugino, JHEP **0501** (2005) 016, [`arXiv:hep-lat/0410035`].

[4] F. Sugino, Phys. Lett. B **635** (2006) 218 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0601024`].

[5] P. H. Ginsparg and K. G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D **25** (1982) 2649.
P. Hasenfratz, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **63** (1998) 53 [`arXiv:hep-lat/9709110`].
H. Neuberger, Phys. Lett. B **417** (1998) 141 [`arXiv:hep-lat/9707022`]; Phys. Lett. B **427** (1998) 353 [`arXiv:hep-lat/9801031`].

[6] G. Curci and G. Veneziano, *Supersymmetry And The Lattice: A Reconciliation?*, Nucl. Phys. B **292** (1987) 555.

[7] M. Kato, M. Sakamoto and H. So, JHEP **0805** (2008) 057 [`arXiv:0803.3121 [hep-lat]`].

[8] G. Bergner, F. Bruckmann and J. M. Pawlowski, `arXiv:0807.1110 [hep-lat]`.

[9] A. D'Adda, I. Kanamori, N. Kawamoto and K. Nagata, Nucl. Phys. B **707** (2005) 100 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0406029`]; Phys. Lett. B **633** (2006) 645 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0507029`]; Nucl. Phys. B **798** (2008) 168 [`arXiv:0707.3533 [hep-lat]`].
S. Arianos, A. D'Adda, A. Feo, N. Kawamoto and J. Saito, `arXiv:0806.0686 [hep-lat]`.

[10] K. Nagata, JHEP **0801** (2008) 041 [`arXiv:0710.5689 [hep-th]`]; `arXiv:0805.4235 [hep-lat]`.

K. Nagata and Y. S. Wu, `arXiv:0803.4339 [hep-lat]`.

[11] F. Bruckmann and M. de Kok, Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 074511 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0603003`]. F. Bruckmann, S. Catterall and M. de Kok, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 045016 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0611001`].

[12] J. Giedt, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21** (2006) 3039 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0602007`].

[13] N. Sakai and M. Sakamoto, Nucl. Phys. B **229** (1983) 173.

[14] S. Catterall and S. Karamov, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 094501 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0108024`]; Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 014503 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0305002`].

[15] S. Catterall, JHEP **0305** (2003) 038 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0301028`].

S. Catterall and S. Ghadab, JHEP **0405** (2004) 044 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0311042`]; JHEP **0610** (2006) 063 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0607010`].

[16] Y. Kikukawa and Y. Nakayama, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 094508 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0207013`].

[17] D. B. Kaplan, E. Katz and M. Ünsal, JHEP **0305** (2003) 037 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0206019`].

A. G. Cohen, D. B. Kaplan, E. Katz and M. Ünsal, JHEP **0308** (2003) 024 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0302017`]; JHEP **0312** (2003) 031 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0307012`].

D. B. Kaplan and M. Ünsal, JHEP **0509** (2005) 042 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0503039`].

M. Ünsal, JHEP **0511** (2005) 013 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0504016`].

[18] M. Harada and S. Pinsky, Phys. Lett. B **567** (2003) 277, [`arXiv:hep-lat/0303027`]; Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 087701 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0408026`]; Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 065013 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0411024`].

[19] S. Catterall, JHEP **0411** (2004) 006 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0410052`]; JHEP **0506** (2005) 027 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0503036`].

[20] T. Ishii, G. Ishiki, S. Shimasaki and A. Tsuchiya, `arXiv:0807.2352 [hep-th]`.

[21] K. Ohta and T. Takimi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **117** (2007) 317 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0611011`].

[22] M. G. Endres and D. B. Kaplan, JHEP **0610** (2006) 076 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0604012`].

[23] S. Matsuura, `arXiv:0805.4491 [hep-th]`.

[24] S. Catterall, JHEP **0704** (2007) 015 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0612008`].

[25] H. Suzuki, JHEP **0709** (2007) 052 [`arXiv:0706.1392 [hep-lat]`].

[26] I. Kanamori, H. Suzuki and F. Sugino, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 091502 [`arXiv:0711.2099 [hep-lat]`]; Prog. Theor. Phys. **119** (2008) 797 [`arXiv:0711.2132 [hep-lat]`].

[27] M. Ünsal, JHEP **0610** (2006) 089 [`arXiv:hep-th/0603046`].
T. Takimi, JHEP **0707** (2007) 010 [`arXiv:0705.3831 [hep-lat]`].
S. Catterall, JHEP **0801** (2008) 048 [`arXiv:0712.2532 [hep-th]`].

[28] P. H. Damgaard and S. Matsuura, JHEP **0707** (2007) 051 [`arXiv:0704.2696 [hep-lat]`]; JHEP **0708** (2007) 087 [`arXiv:0706.3007 [hep-lat]`]; JHEP **0709** (2007) 097 [`arXiv:0708.4129 [hep-lat]`]; Phys. Lett. B **661** (2008) 52 [`arXiv:0801.2936 [hep-th]`].

[29] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **403** (1993) 159 [`arXiv:hep-th/9301042`].

[30] A. Hanany and K. Hori, Nucl. Phys. B **513** (1998) 119 [`arXiv:hep-th/9707192`].

[31] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. **117** (1988) 353; Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **6** (1991) 2775.

[32] M. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. B **549** (1999) 295 [`arXiv:hep-lat/9811032`].

[33] K. Hori and D. Tong, JHEP **0705** (2007) 079 [`arXiv:hep-th/0609032`].

[34] H. Suzuki and Y. Taniguchi, JHEP **0510** (2005) 082 [`arXiv:hep-lat/0507019`].

[35] T. Reisz, Commun. Math. Phys. **116** (1988) 81.

[36] L. H. Karsten and J. Smit, Nucl. Phys. B **183** (1981) 103.
E. Seiler and I. O. Stamatescu, Phys. Rev. D **25** (1982) 2177 [Erratum-ibid. D **26** (1982) 534].

[37] J. Wess and J. Bagger, *Supersymmetry and Supergravity*, Princeton, USA: Univ. Pr. (1992) 259 p.